

Babylon - Comparison of Revelation 17-18 with Jeremiah 50-51

THE GREAT TOWER OF BABEL
Pieter Bruegel the Elder 1563

BABYLON IN REVELATION: LITERAL OR ALLEGORICAL? Comparison of Revelation 17-18 and Jeremiah 50-51

WARNING - This page may challenge what you have been taught regarding the identity of **Babylon** in Revelation 17-18, surely two of the most controversial chapters in the Revelation, if not the entire Bible. For most of us who have been in church for some time it is difficult to lay aside our preconceived notions of what we have been taught about the meaning of Babylon. That will be your challenge as you examine the passage comparisons in Jeremiah and Revelation. Ultimately your conclusion will be a matter of faith. Simply put, do you believe that God will bring about global circumstances in such a way that the literal city of Babylon will be rebuilt in the modern nation of Iraq? Or alternatively do you believe that Babylon will not be rebuilt and that it is better interpreted allegorically (or mystically) as the city of Rome, the city of Jerusalem, the Roman Catholic Church, some other large city such as New York, some country such as America or some amorphous anti-God system without any geographic designation (See [Identity of Babylon](#))?

Regarding the need for faith, recall that prior to May, 1948 many sincere, conservative believers did not espouse the teaching that Israel would once again become a nation. In regard to the study of the Revelation, one is faced with two major "faith challenges." First, is God going to allow rebuilding of a Jewish Temple on Temple Mount in Jerusalem, an event that has to take place if one interprets Revelation 11:1-2+ literally (cf also 2Th 2:3-4+ and Mt 24:15, 21+, Mk 13:14+)? And second, are the 6 mentions of **Babylon** in the Revelation (Rev 14:8+, Rev 16:19+, Rev 17:5+, Rev 18:2, 10, 21+) to be interpreted literally or allegorically?

The goal of this page is to help you work through these two questions using the Word of Truth and your Teacher, the Spirit of Truth. I realize it will be difficult to lay aside what you have previously been taught or read about Babylon, and if you are not willing to do that, then frankly I would recommend you stop reading now as it is doubtful this comparison exercise will alter or influence your preconceived interpretation of Babylon.

With this introduction, the chart on this page simply compares the description of Babylon in Jeremiah 50-51 with John's description of Babylon in Revelation 17-18. The purpose is to discern whether Jeremiah and John are describing the same Babylon. A number of the passages in Jeremiah are prophecies regarding Babylon that, if read literally, have not yet been fulfilled. The presence of those same descriptions in John would seem to support the premise that (1) Jeremiah and John are describing the same city, (2) Babylon in Revelation 17-18 is a literal city and finally (3) that the city of Babylon must be and will be rebuilt in the future. I would encourage you as diligent Bereans (Acts 17:11+) to work through each set of comparisons carefully and prayerfully to see whether you agree with the premise just stated.

Here is as they say the "sixty-four dollar" question - If the numerous prophecies listed below specifically directed to **BABYLON** have not yet been fulfilled, how will they be fulfilled? When they were spoken some 2600 years ago, they were spoken of a literal Babylon. What would need to transpire in the future for all of these prophecies to be fulfilled? And they will ALL be perfectly fulfilled, because God is faithful to keep His promises be they "good words" or words of judgment.

So what say you -

Is Babylon in Jeremiah a literal city?

Is Babylon in Revelation 17-18 a literal city?

Will the city of Babylon be rebuilt in the future?

Might it even be possible that Babylon could be rebuilt in our lifetime?

Be an Acts 17:11+ Berean!

SIMILARITIES

JEREMIAH

REVELATION

The Name of the city is the same	Jer 50:1	Rev 17:5, 18+
Babylon's punishment deserved	Jer 50:29	Rev 18:6+
Babylon's desolation final; uninhabitable by men	Jer 50:39-40, 51:29, 43, 61, 62, cf Isaiah 13:19-22+	Rev 18:2+
Outcry heard among nations – kings, merchants, shipmasters	Jer 50:46	Rev 18:9-19+
God's people commanded flee/depart from Babylon	Jer 51:6, 45, 50	Rev 18:4+
Babylon's is associated with a Cup	Jer 51:7a	Rev 17:3-4+, Rev 18:6+
Babylon intoxicates all the nations of the earth	Jer 51:7b	Rev 17:2+
Babylon's Destruction will be sudden	Jer 51:8	Rev 18:8-10, 17, 19+
Babylon said to dwell on many waters	Jer 51:13	Rev 17:1+, (cf Rev 17:15+)
Babylon destroyed by fire	Jer 51:30, 51:58	Rev 17:16+, Rev 18:8+
Heaven Rejoices over Babylon's judgment from God	Jer 51:48	Rev 18:20+, Rev 19:1-3
Babylon's persecution of the saints	Jer 51:49	Rev 17:6+, Rev 18:24+
Destruction illustrated by stone sinking into Euphrates or Sea	Jer 51:63, 64	Rev 18:21+
No Stone from Babylon will be used to rebuild	Jer 51:26	---

RELATED RESOURCES:

- [APPENDIX 1 Babylon's History After 539 B. C.](#)
- [APPENDIX 2 Literal Geography in Revelation](#)
- [APPENDIX 3 Babylon and the Harlot Dr Anthony Garland](#)

Scriptures from the Table

The Name of the city is the same

Jeremiah 50:1 The word which the LORD spoke concerning **Babylon**, the land of the Chaldeans, through Jeremiah the prophet:

Revelation 17:5⁺ and on her forehead a name was written, a mystery, "**BABYLON THE GREAT, THE MOTHER OF HARLOTS AND OF THE ABOMINATIONS OF THE EARTH.**"

COMMENT - Here is a quote from world renowned Bible scholar F F Bruce showing how biased one can be when they choose not to believe the literal word of God. Bruce writes in his Gold Medallion award winning commentary "This title was written on her forehead: MYSTERY: 'mystery' indicates that the name she bears (as Roman harlots wore their names on their foreheads) is not to be understood literally, but allegorically: 'Babylon the Great' is read, but 'Rome' is meant."

Revelation 17:18⁺ "The woman whom you saw is the great city, which reigns over the kings of the earth."

Babylon's punishment deserved

Jeremiah 50:29 "**Summon** many against Babylon, All those who bend the bow: **Encamp** against her on every side, Let there be no escape. **Repay** her **according to her work; According to all that she has done**, so do

to her; For she has become arrogant against the LORD, Against the Holy One of Israel.

Revelation 18:6+ **"Pay her back even as she has paid, and give back to her double according to her deeds; in the cup which she has mixed, mix twice as much for her.**

Babylon's desolation final; uninhabitable by men

Jeremiah 50:39-40; Therefore the desert creatures will live there along with the jackals; The ostriches also will live in it, And **it will never again be inhabited Or dwelt in from generation to generation** 40 "As when God overthrew Sodom And Gomorrah with its neighbors," declares the LORD, **"No man will live there, Nor will any son of man reside in it.**

Jeremiah 51:29 So the land quakes and writhes, For the purposes of the LORD against Babylon stand, To make the land of Babylon **A desolation without inhabitants.**

Jeremiah 51:43 "Her cities have become an object of horror, A parched land and a desert, **A land in which no man lives And through which no son of man passes.**

Jeremiah 51:62 and say, 'You, O LORD, have promised concerning this place to cut it off, so that **there will be nothing dwelling in it**, whether man or beast (**NB**: does not exclude demons, unclean), but it will be a perpetual desolation.'

Now see the [Chronology of Babylon below](#).

COMPARE ISAIAH Isaiah 13:19-22+ And Babylon, the beauty of kingdoms, the glory of the Chaldeans' pride, Will be as when God overthrew Sodom and Gomorrah. 20 It will never be inhabited or lived in from generation to generation; Nor will the Arab pitch his tent there (NOT FULFILLED - SEE PICTURE BELOW), Nor will shepherds make their flocks lie down there. 21 But desert creatures will lie down there, And their houses will be full of owls; Ostriches also will live there, and shaggy goats will frolic there. 22 Hyenas will howl in their fortified towers And jackals in their luxurious palaces. Her fateful time also will soon come And her days will not be prolonged.

Click to Enlarge
Arab tent in Babylon circa 1899-1908

Revelation 18:2+ And he cried out with a mighty voice, saying, "Fallen, fallen is Babylon the great! (COMPARE Isaiah 21:9b "Fallen, fallen is Babylon; And all the images of her gods are shattered on the ground.") **She has become a dwelling place of demons and a prison of every unclean spirit, and a prison of every unclean and hateful bird.**

Outcry heard among nations – kings, merchants, shipmasters

Jeremiah 50:46 At the shout, "Babylon has been seized!" the earth is shaken, and **an outcry is heard among the nations.**

Revelation 18:9-19+ "And the kings of the earth, who committed acts of immorality and lived sensuously with her, will weep and lament over her when they see the smoke of her burning, 10 standing at a distance because of the fear of her torment, saying, '**Woe, woe, the great city, Babylon, the strong city!** For in one hour your judgment has come.' 11 "And the merchants of the earth weep and mourn over her, because no one buys their cargoes any more— 12 cargoes of gold and silver and precious stones and pearls and fine linen and purple and silk and scarlet, and every kind of citron wood and every article of ivory and every article made from very costly wood and bronze and iron and marble, 13 and cinnamon and spice and incense and perfume and frankincense and wine and olive oil and fine flour and wheat and cattle and sheep, and cargoes of horses and chariots and slaves and human lives. 14 "The fruit you long for has gone from you, and all things that were luxurious and splendid have passed away from you and men will no longer find them. 15 "The merchants of these things, who became rich from her, will stand at a distance because of the fear of her torment, weeping and mourning, 16 saying, '**Woe, woe, the great city,** she who was clothed in fine linen and purple and scarlet, and adorned with gold and precious stones and pearls; 17 for in one hour such great wealth has been laid waste!' And every shipmaster and every passenger and sailor, and as many as make their living by the sea, stood at a distance, 18 and were crying out as they saw the smoke of her burning, saying, 'What city is like the great city?' 19 "And they threw dust on their heads and were crying out, weeping and mourning, saying, '**Woe, woe, the great city,** in which all who had ships at sea became rich by her wealth, for in one hour she has been laid waste!'

God's people commanded flee/depart from Babylon

Jeremiah 51:6 **Flee** from the midst of Babylon, And each of you save his life! **Do not be destroyed in her punishment, For this is the LORD'S time of vengeance;** He is going to render recompense to her.

Jeremiah 51:45 **"Come forth** from her midst, My people, And each of you **save yourselves From the fierce anger of the LORD.**

Jeremiah 51:50 You who have escaped the sword, **Depart! Do not stay!** Remember the LORD from afar, And let Jerusalem come to your mind.

Revelation 18:4+ I heard another voice from heaven, saying, **"Come out** of her, my people, **so that you will not participate in her sins and receive of her plagues;**

Babylon's is associated with a Cup

Jeremiah 51:7 Babylon has been **a golden cup in the hand of the LORD, Intoxicating all the earth** The nations have drunk of her wine; Therefore the nations are going mad. (Cross reference Jer 25:15-29 to help understand the cup. In short, it is God's judgment carried out by Babylon).

Revelation 17:3-4+ And he carried me away in the Spirit into a wilderness; and I saw a woman sitting on a scarlet beast, full of blasphemous names, having seven heads and ten horns. 4 The woman was clothed in purple and scarlet, and adorned with gold and precious stones and pearls, **having in her hand a gold cup full of abominations and of the unclean things of her immorality,**

Revelation 18:6+ **"Pay her back** even as she has paid, and **give back** to her double according to her deeds; in the cup which she has mixed, mix twice as much for her.

Babylon intoxicates all the nations of the earth

Jeremiah 51:7 Babylon has been a golden cup in the hand of the LORD, **Intoxicating all the earth. The nations have drunk of her wine;** Therefore the nations are going mad.

Revelation 17:2+ with whom the kings of the earth committed acts of immorality, and those who dwell on the earth were **made drunk with the wine of her immorality."**

COMPARE Revelation 14:8+ And another angel, a second one, followed, saying, "Fallen, fallen is Babylon the great, she **who has made all the nations drink of the wine of the passion of her immorality"**

COMPARE Revelation 19:2+ BECAUSE HIS JUDGMENTS ARE TRUE AND RIGHTEOUS; for He has judged the great harlot who was **corrupting the earth with her immorality,** and HE HAS AVENGED THE BLOOD OF HIS BOND-SERVANTS ON HER."

Babylon's Destruction will be sudden

Jeremiah 51:8 **Suddenly** Babylon has fallen and been broken; Wail over her! Bring balm for her pain; Perhaps she may be healed.

Revelation 18:8-10, 17, 19+ "For this reason **in one day** her plagues will come, pestilence and mourning and famine, and she will be burned up with fire; for the Lord God who judges her is strong. 9 "And the kings of the earth, who committed acts of immorality and lived sensuously with her, will weep and lament over her when they see the smoke of her burning, 10 standing at a distance because of the fear of her torment, saying, 'Woe, woe, the great city, Babylon, the strong city! For **in one hour** your judgment has come.'(Rev 18:17) for **in one hour** such great wealth has been laid waste!' And every shipmaster and every passenger and sailor, and as many as make their living by the sea, stood at a distance... (Rev 18:19) "And they threw dust on their heads and were crying out, weeping and mourning, saying, 'Woe, woe, the great city, in which all who had ships at sea became rich by her wealth, for **in one hour** she has been laid waste!'

Babylon said to dwell on many waters

Jeremiah 51:13 **O you who dwell by many waters,** Abundant in treasures, **Your end has come, The measure of your end.**

Revelation 17:1+, Then one of the seven angels who had the seven bowls came and spoke with me, saying, "Come here, I will show you **the judgment of the great harlot who sits on many waters,**

Revelation 17:15+ And he said to me, **"The waters which you saw where the harlot sits,** are peoples and

multitudes and nations and tongues.

Babylon destroyed by fire

Jeremiah 51:30 The mighty men of Babylon have ceased fighting, They stay in the strongholds; Their strength is exhausted, They are becoming like women; **Their dwelling places are set on fire**, The bars of her gates are broken.

Jeremiah 51:58 Thus says the LORD of hosts, "The broad wall of Babylon will be completely razed **And her high gates will be set on fire**; So the peoples will toil for nothing, And the nations become exhausted only for fire."

Revelation 17:16+ "And the ten horns which you saw, and the beast, these will hate the harlot and will make her desolate and naked, and will eat her flesh and **will burn her up with fire**.

Revelation 18:8+ "For this reason in one day her plagues will come, pestilence and mourning and famine, and **she will be burned up with fire**; for the Lord God who judges her is strong.

Heaven Rejoices over Babylon's judgment from God

Jeremiah 51:48 "**Then heaven and earth and all that is in them Will shout for joy over Babylon**, For the destroyers will come to her from the north," Declares the LORD.

Revelation 18:20+ "**Rejoice** over her, O heaven, and you saints and apostles and prophets, **because God has pronounced judgment** for you against her."

Revelation 19:1-3+ After these things I heard something like a loud voice of a great multitude in heaven, saying, "**Hallelujah! Salvation and glory and power belong to our God; 2 BECAUSE HIS JUDGMENTS ARE TRUE AND RIGHTEOUS; for He has judged the great harlot who was corrupting the earth with her immorality, and HE HAS AVENGED THE BLOOD OF HIS BOND-SERVANTS ON HER.**" 3 **And a second time they said, "Hallelujah! HER SMOKE RISES UP FOREVER AND EVER."**

Babylon's persecution of the saints

Jeremiah 51:49 Indeed Babylon is to fall for the slain of Israel, **As also for Babylon the slain of all the earth have fallen**.

Revelation 17:6+ And I saw **the woman drunk with the blood of the saints, and with the blood of the witnesses of Jesus**. When I saw her, I wondered greatly.

Revelation 18:24+ "And in her was found the blood of prophets and of saints and of all who have been slain on the earth."

Destruction illustrated by stone sinking into Euphrates or Sea

Jeremiah 51:63; 64 "And as soon as you finish reading this scroll, you will **tie a stone to it and throw it into the middle of the Euphrates**, (51:64) and say, 'Just so shall Babylon sink down and not rise again because of the calamity that I am going to bring upon her; and they will become exhausted.'" Thus far are the words of Jeremiah.

Revelation 18:21+ Then a strong angel **took up a stone like a great millstone and threw it into the sea**, saying, "So will Babylon, the great city, be thrown down with violence, and will not be found any longer.

No Stone from Babylon will be used to rebuild

Jeremiah 51:26 "They will not take from you even a stone for a corner Nor a stone for foundations, But you will be desolate forever," declares the LORD.

NO REVELATION PARALLEL - Included because this is one of the many unfulfilled prophecies regarding Babylon. Archaeological discoveries have shown that bricks and stone from ancient Babylon have been repeatedly plundered and reused in later construction projects.

Under the heading **Birth of Hillah** the capital of [Babylon Province](#) and adjacent to the ancient city of [Babylon Wikipedia](#) records that "In the 10th century, the town of Al Jami'ayn was founded on the eastern bank of the Euphrates. The location of that town is in modern-day Hillah now. In 1101 AD a new town was founded near Al Jami'ayn. **Bricks were taken from Babylon to build houses and so Hillah expanded.**" In short, the prophecy of Jeremiah 51:26, if taken literally (which I do take it), remains to be fulfilled at some time

in the future.

Google Earth Picture of ruins of ancient Babylon - March, 2024

Google Earth Picture of Babylon - note cities on NE & SW surrounding ruins
Enlarge to see green pin marking ruins of ancient Babylon
Point - This area is NOT uninhabited and never has been but one day will be!

APPENDIX 1

Babylon's History After 539 B. C.

This historical/geographical evidence clearly refutes the commonly held belief that Babylon became uninhabited after being defeated by Cyrus. The historical facts do not support that interpretation. The implication is that the prophecies describing Babylon's destruction and subsequent uninhabited ruins have not been fulfilled.

Jeremiah 25:12 'Then it will be when seventy years are completed I will punish the king of Babylon and that nation,' declares the LORD, 'for their iniquity, and the land of the Chaldeans; and **I will make it an everlasting desolation.**

Comment - At first glance this passage in Jeremiah seems to support the premise that Babylon became uninhabited after being defeated in 539 BC. But the facts are that it did not become uninhabited as clearly demonstrated by the events and dates documented below. So how do you explain Jeremiah 25:12? The most obvious explanation for the "**everlasting desolation**" is that there is a "time gap" ([see notes on time gap](#)) between the first part of the verse fulfilled in Babylon's defeat in 539 BC and the fulfillment of **everlasting desolation** in the last part of the passage. Time gaps are well known and accepted in interpretation of a number of prophetic passages. The classic time gap in Isaiah 61:1-2+ is illustrated by Jesus who quoted only the first half of the prophecy in Luke 4:18-19+, and not the last half (beginning in Isa 61:2b), because the last half predicted judgment which is yet to come in the future.

539 B.C. The Persians under King Cyrus conquered Babylon in 539 B.C., but the city wasn't destroyed. The Persians simply captured the city.

450 B.C. Herodotus, "the father of history," visited Babylon and described the inner walls 85 feet thick and 340 feet high with 100 gates. So almost 100 years after its fall to the Persians Babylon was still a flourishing.

332 B.C. Alexander the Great visited Babylon and died there.

312 B.C. Seleucus one of Alexander's generals seized Babylon in 312.

25 B.C. The famous geographer Strabo visited Babylon and described the hanging garden as one of the Seven Wonders of the World. ([See his writings Book 16.1.5](#))

A.D. 35 On the Day of Pentecost, Jews in Jerusalem were from Babylon (Acts 2:8–10).

A.D. 64 Peter writes First Peter from Babylon (see [comment](#) on 1 Peter 5:13 which is often used to support the interpretation of Babylon as Rome).

A.D. 500 The Babylonian Talmud (a Jewish commentary on the Law) was promulgated from Babylon.

A.D. 917 Ibn Haukal mentions Babel as an insignificant village, but still in existence.

A.D. 1100 Babylon was known as "The Two Mosques." It was enlarged and fortified, becoming known as **Hillah** ("rest"), a name it retains to this day.

[Wikipedia](#) says "Hillah is a city in central Iraq on the Hilla branch of the Euphrates River, 62 mi south of Baghdad. The population was estimated to be about 455,700 in 2018. It is the capital of Babylon Province and is located adjacent to the ancient city of Babylon."

APPENDIX 2

Literal Geography in Revelation

Every geography location John mentions in the Revelation is clearly literal. How might that influence one's interpretation of the 6 uses of Babylon in the Revelation? And for completeness Revelation 11:8 has one figurative (mystical) description which is clearly identified as "mystical," John recording that the dead bodies of the two witnesses lay "in the street of **the great city** which **mystically is called Sodom and Egypt**, where also their Lord was crucified." Note how John immediately explains that the great city is Jerusalem. There is no similar pattern noted with the uses of Babylon which is also called a **great city**.

One passage that has given license to some to interpret Babylon mystically is Rev 17:5 where the KJV (and NIV) put "**mystery**" in all caps thus suggesting that this was part of her name. In fact in the immediate context the mystery is clearly explained as related to the relationship of the harlot and the beast. That's the mystery and the angel explains it to John saying "I will tell you the **mystery** of the woman and of the beast that carries her, which has the seven heads and the ten horns." In sum, (1) "mystery" is not part of Babylon's name and (2) does not give license to interpret Babylon "mystically."

Here are the geographical names representing literal cities or locations...

1. Asia - Rev 1:4
2. Patmos Rev 1:9
3. Ephesus - Rev 2:1
4. Smyrna - Rev 2:8
5. Pergamum - Rev 2:12
6. Thyatira - Rev 2:18
7. Sardis - Rev 3:1
8. Philadelphia - Rev 3:7
9. Laodicea - Rev 3:14
10. Euphrates - Rev 9:14;16:12
11. Armageddon - Rev 16:16
12. New Jerusalem - Rev 21:2

APPENDIX 3

Babylon and the Harlot

Dr Anthony Garland

- [Babylon of Old](#)
- [The Destruction of Babylon](#)
- [The Identity of Babylon](#)
- [The Great Harlot](#)

Babylon, mentioned six times by name in the book of Revelation (Rev 14:8⁺; Rev 16:19⁺; Rev 17:5⁺; Rev 18:2⁺, Rev 18:10⁺, Rev 18:21⁺), plays a significant role in the events prior to the Second Coming of Jesus Christ. As with almost all other aspects of the book of Revelation, in order to understand what Babylon *is* and what it *is not*, it is important to recognize that the book of Revelation is the capstone of many streams of prophecy which find their source elsewhere in Scripture, and especially in the [OT](#). In a similar way that [Genesis and Revelation serve as bookends](#) around God's written revelation, Babylon also has great significance both in the beginning and end of God's plan in history.


Babylon in Iraq¹

Babylon of Old

The earliest mention of Babylon and the region it occupies, the land of Shinar, is found not long after the global flood:

Cush begot Nimrod; he began to be a mighty one on the earth. He was a mighty hunter before the LORD; therefore it is said, "Like Nimrod the mighty hunter before the LORD." **And the beginning of his kingdom was Babel**, Erech, Accad, and Calneh, **in the land of Shinar**. From that land he went to Assyria and built **Nineveh**, Rehoboth Ir, Calah and Resen between Nineveh and Calah (that *is* the principal city). (Gen. 10:8-12 cf. 1Chr. 1:10)² [emphasis added]

It was Nimrod who established a kingdom at Babel. In fact, this is the first mention of the concept of *kingdom* in Scripture. In a very real sense, *Nimrod was the first king*. And in order to be a king, one needs to have *subjects* and a *realm*. This implies centralization in a way which ran counter to God's command following the flood: "Be fruitful and multiply, and fill the earth" (Gen. 9:1, 7 cf. Gen. 1:22, 28). Reading between the lines, we can already see the seeds of rebellion.

Now the whole earth had one language and one speech. And it came to pass, as they journeyed from the east, that they found a plain in the land of Shinar, and they dwelt there. Then they said to one another, "Come, let us make bricks and bake them thoroughly." They had brick for stone, and they had asphalt for mortar. And they said, "Come, let us build ourselves a city, and a tower whose top is in the heavens; let us make a name for ourselves, lest we be scattered abroad over the face of the whole earth." But the LORD came down to see the city and the tower which the sons of men had built. And the LORD said, "Indeed the people are one and they all have one language, and this is what they begin to do; now nothing that they propose to do will be withheld from them. Come, let Us go down and there and confuse their language, that they may not understand one another's speech." So the LORD scattered them abroad from there over the face of all the earth, and they ceased building the city. Therefore its name is called Babel, because there the LORD confused the language of all the earth; and from there the LORD scattered them abroad over the face of all the earth. (Gen. 11:1-9)

Although Scripture is not overtly negative concerning Nimrod, his involvement establishing the first kingdom and initiating a building project which resulted in a severe judgment from God (the introduction of languages) clearly indicates his sinful ambitions.

Babylon has from its inception symbolized evil and rebellion against God. It was founded by Nimrod (Gen. 10:9), a proud, powerful, God-rejecting ruler. Babel (Babylon) was the site of the first organized system of idolatrous false religion (Gen. 11:1-4). The Tower of Babel, the expression of that false religion, was a ziggurat; an edifice designed to facilitate idolatrous worship. God judged the people's idolatry and rebellion by confusing their language and scattering them over the globe (Gen. 11:5-9). Thus the seeds of idolatry and false religion spread around the world from Babylon, to take root wherever these proud rebels and their descendants settled.³

There is also abundant tradition concerning the rebellion of Nimrod:

Now it was Nimrod who excited them to such an affront and contempt of God. He was the grandson of Ham, the son of Noah,— a bold man, and of great strength of hand. He persuaded them not to ascribe it to God as if it was through his means they were happy, but to believe that it was their own courage which procured that happiness. He also gradually changed the government into tyranny, —seeing no other way of turning men from the fear of God, but to bring them into a constant dependence upon his power. He also said he would be revenged on God, if he should have a mind to drown the world again; for that he would build a tower too high for the waters to be able to reach! and that he would avenge himself on God for destroying their forefathers!⁴

According to the Sages, Nimrod was the primary force behind this rebellion. The *Midrashim* explain his sinister motive. He planned to build a tower ascending to Heaven and, from it, wage war against God.⁵

The Targum of Jonathan says, "From the foundation of the world none was ever found like Nimrod, powerful in hunting, and in rebellions against the Lord." The Jerusalem Targum says, "He is powerful in hunting and in wickedness before the Lord, for he was a hunter of the sons of men, and he said to them, 'Depart from the judgment of the Lord, and adhere to the judgment of Nimrod!' Therefore as it is said, 'As Nimrod is the strong one, strong in hunting, and in wickedness before the Lord.' " The Chaldee paraphrase of I Chronicles 1:10 says, "Cush begat Nimrod, who began to prevail in wickedness, for he shed innocent blood, and rebelled against Jehovah."⁶

In the founding of Babel was the foundation for what would later flower as Babylon under Nebuchadnezzar and figure so highly in the events of Scripture, especially the book of Daniel which we have seen is key to understanding much of the book of Revelation. Another negative connotation concerning Babel may be seen in the proverb taken up by Isaiah concerning the king of Babylon:

Take up this proverb against the king of Babylon . . . How you are fallen from heaven, O Lucifer, son of the morning! *How* you are cut down to the ground, You who weakened the nations! For you have said in your heart: 'I will ascend into heaven, I will exalt my throne above the stars of God; I will also sit on the mount of the congregation on the farthest sides of the north; I will ascend above the heights of the clouds, I will be like the Most High.' Yet you shall be brought down to Sheol, to the lowest depths of the Pit. Those who see you will gaze at you, *and* consider you, *saying*: 'Is this the man who made the earth tremble, who shook kingdoms?' (Isa. 14:4, 12-16)

The connection between Satan (here *Lucifer*) and Babylon is seen in this proverb which begins with the human king in view, but soon goes far beyond what could be said of the human king to identify the spiritual power motivating the king (cf. Eze. 28:12). Since Satan has been active in the affairs of the world since the creation of mankind, it is no surprise to find his influence in the realm of

corrupt kings and kingdoms extending far back in history. Portions of the proverb, "Is this the man who made the earth tremble, who shook kingdoms", appear to speak of the ultimate king of Babylon at the time of the end, the [Antichrist](#):

Isaiah thus makes the Babylonian monarch speak according to the ideas of his people . . . and at the same time reflects the satanic spirit of self-deification to appear in fullest development in the last king of Babylon, the Antichrist (Rev. 13:8+).⁷

Prior to the introduction of languages by God, the majority of mankind refused to disperse across the globe, but gathered in the region of Shinar instead. The result of the introduction of language was the scattering of different language groups over the face of all the earth (Gen. 11:9). This initial centralization, followed by the global distribution, *is the primary mechanism by which Babylon became the central influence in all cultures and civilizations which followed*. This is how she came to sit on "peoples, multitudes, nations, and tongues" (Rev. 17:15+). In the record of Babel, as minimal as it is, we see the *first human king and kingdom* in direct rebellion to the commands of God resulting in judgment. In Babylon of the end, we will see the *last human king and kingdom* in ultimate rebellion to the commands of God resulting in the final judgment of all human kingdoms to be replaced by the [Millennial Kingdom](#) ruled by Messiah. See [The Beast](#). See [#16 - Beast](#).

The Destruction of Babylon

A point of disagreement concerning the interpretation of Scripture involves how to handle passages which predict events and circumstances which evidently have not come to pass. For those who uphold the [inerrancy](#) and [inspiration](#) of Scripture, there are only two alternatives:

1. **Dramatic Hyperbole** - Prophetic passages must be understood to employ extensive use of figures of speech such as hyperbole for dramatic effect. They should not be understood in a literal way, but must be seen as a form of dramatic exaggeration emphasizing the harshness with which God views sin and its related judgment. They were fulfilled in an approximate way by events of the past or are statements of spiritual principles.
2. **Literal Prediction** - Unfulfilled prophetic passages make limited use of hyperbole, but in such a way that it is obvious where it occurs (e.g., 1S. 5:12). In the main, prophetic passages are accurate predictions of catastrophic judgments which have not yet occurred.

Depending upon which of these two views one holds when reading the [OT](#), unfulfilled passages will either be loosely applied to the immediate circumstances or they will be seen as extending beyond the immediate circumstances and speaking to an ultimate fulfillment in the distant future. [Futurist interpreters](#) are of this latter persuasion because they understand previously fulfilled prophecy to indicate a pattern of literal fulfillment. See [The Art and Science of Interpretation](#). This is of great importance when we come to the matter of Babylon in Scripture because all interpreters are aware that extensive prophecies concerning Babylon, and especially the [manner of her destruction](#), have never been fulfilled as they were stated. Those who favor dramatic hyperbole as an explanation tend to believe the passages were fulfilled in an approximate, but suitable way. Those who favor literal prediction believe these passages have never been fulfilled, even approximately, and continue to speak of the future destruction of Babylon at the time of the end. We are in this latter group.

Babylon's Historic Fall

When one examines the historical record concerning the fall of the city of Babylon in 539 B.C. to Persia (Dan. 5:30-31), it is clear that the term "destruction" is much too strong a word to describe what actually transpired. Babylon has never been *destroyed* at the hands of a catastrophic attack as prophesied in the [OT](#) (see [Babylon's Predicted Destruction](#)). On the night that Belshazzar, king of the Chaldeans, was slain and the city came under the control of Darius the Mede, it "fell" politically, but not physically. There was no large-scale attack upon the city. In fact, many within the city were not even aware for quite some time afterwards that the city had been taken. The city was taken by diverting the waters of the Euphrates. This allowed armed forces to wade under her defenses without much of a fight:

The city fell by complete surprise. Half of the metropolis was captured before the rest of it was "aware" of the fact, according to Herodotus. Cyrus diverted the waters of the Euphrates and by night entered the city through the dried up channel (Dan. 5:30-31).⁸

Rather than being physically overthrown, as predicted by Isaiah (Isa. 13, 14, 47) and Jeremiah (Jer. 50, 51), the city and its occupants were treated with considerable respect:

On . . . Oct. 29, 539 B.C., sixteen days after the capitulation, Cyrus himself entered the city amid much public acclaim, ending the Chaldean dynasty as predicted by the Hebrew prophets (Isa. 13:21; Jer. 50f). Cyrus treated the city with great respect, returning to their own shrines the statues of the deities brought in from other cities. The Jews were sent home with compensatory assistance. He

appointed new governors, so ensuring peace and stable conditions essential to the proper maintenance of the religious centers.⁹

Babylon generally flourished under the Persians, although there is record of a revolt against Xerxes I which resulted in a harsh response:

Under the Persians, Babylon retained most of its institutions, became capital of the richest satrapy in the empire, and, according to Herodotus, the world's most splendid city. A revolt against Xerxes I (482) led to destruction of its fortifications and temples and the melting down of the golden image of Marduk.¹⁰

In subsequent campaigns which took control of Babylon, rather than being violently overthrown, the city slowly decayed due to competition and neglect:

On October 12, 539 B.C., Babylon fell to Cyrus of Persia, and from that time on the decay of the city began. Xerxes plundered it. Alexander the Great thought to restore its great temple, in ruins in his day, but was deterred by the prohibitive cost. During the period of Alexander's successors the area decayed rapidly and soon became a desert. From the days of Seleucus Nicator (312-280 B.C.), who built the rival city of Seleucia on the Tigris, queenly Babylon never revived.¹¹

Even when Greece, the great [leopard beast](#) of Daniel's night vision (Dan. 7:6) came calling in the person and empire of Alexander the Great, the city was not destroyed:

[On] Oct. 1, 331 B.C., Alexander marched to Babylon, where the Macedonian was triumphantly acclaimed, the Persian garrison offering no opposition. He offered sacrifices to Marduk, ordered the rebuilding of temples that Xerxes allegedly had destroyed, and then a month later moved on to Susa.¹²

Alexander subsequently returned to Babylon with great construction plans to make it his capital, but these were interrupted by his death in 323 B.C. After Alexander, the city was ruled by a series of kings including Seleucus I (323-250) during which Babylon's economic—but not religious importance—declined sharply due to competition with the establishment by Antiochus I of a new capital at Seleucia on the Tigris (274 B.C.). Later, the city remained a center of Hellenism, supporting Jews in Palestine who opposed Herod.¹³ After the destruction of the [Second Temple](#) by Rome in A.D. 70, many Jews left Jerusalem for the area of Babylon. This trend increased after the Bar Kokhba war.¹⁴ The region of Babylon became an important center for Jewry outside Israel:

After the destruction of the Second Temple in 70 C.E., and especially after the war of Bar Kokhba (132-35 C.E.), some scholars went down from Palestine to Babylon. The arrival of "Abba the Tall," Rab, in approximately 219, brought about a period of prosperity in the study of the Law in Babylon. Rab in Sura and Shmuel in Nehardea gave public instruction in the Law and trained many pupils. In this period academies were established, and they continued to exert an influence on Jews, not only in Babylon but throughout all the lands of their dispersion, as late as the 12th century.¹⁵

Although the city still stood when Roman emperor Trajan entered it in A.D. 115, by about A.D. 200 the site of the city was deserted.¹⁶ Thereafter, the city was mostly forgotten until the 1800s when archaeological expeditions began to investigate the site. In the mid-1960s, the Iraqi Department of Antiquities carried out further work at the site. "The Ishtar gateway . . . was partially restored together with the Procession Way . . . The *Ninmah* temple was reconstructed, and a museum and rest house built on the site, which is also partially covered by the village of Jumjumah."¹⁷



In more recent times, Saddam Hussein built himself a palace on a man-made hill beside the footprint of the original city. Then, in 1987, he ordered construction of a replica of Nebuchadnezzar's vast palace on the original site. Museums were also built. But since his fall from power in 2003, his private palace was ransacked by mobs and two museums at the site were looted. During almost this entire time, there have been people occupying the site or living nearby—in stark contrast to the predictions of Scripture concerning the uninhabitable wasteland it is predicted to one day become.¹⁹

Babylon's Predicted Destruction

History records that although Babylon "fell" numerous times at the hands of different invaders, it never suffered anything like a complete destruction. Instead, it continued to be inhabited hundreds of years after its initial fall to Persia and eventually, through neglect more than anything else, became insignificant on the stage of world history. Yet the prophecies of Isaiah, Jeremiah, and John indicate a completely different scenario for her destruction. One that is sudden, swift, and absolutely catastrophic. We have gathered a number of these predictions together below so the reader may see for himself what the Holy Word of God predicts concerning the severity and permanence of her destruction:

And Babylon, the glory of kingdoms, the beauty of the Chaldean's pride, will be as when God overthrew Sodom and Gomorrah. It will never be inhabited, nor will it be settled from generation to generation; nor will the Arabian pitch tents there, nor will the shepherds make their sheepfolds there. (Isa. 13:19-20)

"And look, here comes a chariot of men *with* a pair of horsemen!" Then he answered and said, "Babylon is fallen, is fallen! And all the carved images of her gods He has broken to the ground." Oh, my threshing and the grain of my floor! That which I have heard from the LORD of hosts, The God of Israel, I have declared to you. (Isa. 21:9-10)

Therefore evil shall come upon you; you shall not know from where it arises. And trouble shall fall upon you; you will not be able to put it off. And desolation shall come upon you suddenly, *which* you shall not know. (Isa. 47:11)

Because of the wrath of the Lord she shall not be inhabited, but she shall be wholly desolate. Everyone who goes by Babylon shall be horrified and hiss at all her plagues. (Jer. 50:13)

Come against her from the farthest border; open her storehouses; cast her up as heaps of ruins, and destroy her utterly; let nothing of her be left. (Jer. 50:26)

"Therefore the wild desert beasts shall dwell *there* with the jackals, and the ostriches shall dwell in it. It shall be inhabited no more forever, nor shall it be dwelt in from generation to generation. As God overthrew Sodom and Gomorrah and their neighbors," says the Lord, "So no one shall reside there, nor son of man dwell in it." (Jer. 50:39-40)

"They shall not take from you a stone for a corner nor a stone for a foundation, but you shall be desolate forever," says the LORD. (Jer. 51:26)

And the land will tremble and sorrow; for every purpose of the LORD shall be performed against Babylon, to make the land of Babylon a desolation without inhabitant. (Jer. 51:29)

Her cities are a desolation, a dry land and wilderness, a land where no one dwells, through which no son of man passes. (Jer. 51:43)

Thus says the Lord of hosts: "The broad walls of Babylon shall be utterly broken, and her high gates shall be burned with fire; the people will labor in vain, and the nations, because of the fire; and they shall be weary." (Jer. 51:58)

And Jeremiah said to Seraiah, "When you arrive in Babylon and see it, and read all these words, then you shall say, 'O LORD, You have spoken against this place to cut it off, so that none shall remain in it, neither man nor beast, but it shall be desolate forever.' " (Jer. 51:61-62)

And the ten horns which you saw on the beast, these will hate the harlot, make her desolate and naked, eat her flesh and burn her with fire. (Rev. 17:16⁺)

And he cried mightily with a loud voice, saying, "Babylon the great is fallen, is fallen, and has become a dwelling place of demons, a prison for every foul spirit, and a cage for every unclean and hated bird!" (Rev. 18:2⁺)

Therefore her plagues will come in one day-death and mourning and famine. And she will be utterly burned with fire, for strong is the Lord God who judges her. The kings of the earth who committed fornication and lived luxuriously with her will weep and lament for her, when they see the smoke of her burning, standing at a distance for fear of her torment, saying, "Alas, alas, that great city Babylon, that mighty city! For in one hour your judgment has come." (Rev. 18:8-10⁺)

For in one hour such great riches came to nothing. Every shipmaster, all who travel by ship, sailors, and as many as trade on the sea, stood at a distance and cried out when they saw the smoke of her burning, saying, "What is like this great city?" They threw dust on their heads and cried out, weeping and wailing, and saying, "Alas, alas, that great city, in which all who had ships on the sea became rich by her wealth! For in one hour she is made desolate." (Rev. 18:17-19⁺)

Then a mighty angel took up a stone like a great millstone and threw it into the sea, saying, "Thus with violence the great city Babylon shall be thrown down, and shall not be found anymore." (Rev. 18:21⁺)

Again they said, "Alleluia! Her smoke rises up forever and ever!" (Rev. 19:3⁺)

Her destruction is said to be literal and catastrophic like that of Sodom and Gomorrah. Her walls will be completely destroyed and her gates burned with fire. There will be nothing left of her, no person shall ever reside there, nor will any building material be taken from her ruins. This destruction will be sudden, complete, and permanent. It seems clear that Babylon has yet to suffer in the way which God has so extensively foretold. Not only are the [OT](#) prophecies of Isaiah and Jeremiah unfulfilled in the history of Babylon,

but John's vision recorded in approximately 95 A.D. reiterates and even extends these predictions. Either God is a God of wholesale and extensive exaggeration or a literal destruction remains pending. It is impossible, unscriptural, and irreverent to believe that such an extensive portion of God's word is to be spiritualized or taken as [hyperbole](#).

The Timing of Babylon's Destruction

Having seen the severe manner in which Babylon is to be destroyed, we now examine the *timing* of Babylon's destruction. When are these prophecies of her destruction to take place? The most obvious starting point is to understand that her destruction is future to John's day, for the book of Revelation contains an extensive prediction of her destruction. This places her destruction sometime after 95 A.D. (See [Date](#).) Yet we saw that [Babylon's Historic Fall](#) was actually a relatively slow demise because she continued to be inhabited for several decades after the writing of the book of Revelation before fading into obscurity. Within not many years after John's dramatic predictions *there was no significant city of Babylon left to be destroyed*. If Babylon is to be destroyed as predicted by the prophetic word, and she is not a spiritual symbol representing a non-literal city or movement, then she must be reconstructed prior to the time of the end. And this we expect. (See [Babylon is Babylon!](#)) From God's word, we know that her prophesied destruction comes in [The Day of the Lord](#). One of the most significant passages describing that day is found in Isaiah 13, "ground zero" of an extensive passage concerning *Babylon*:

Wail, for the day of the LORD is at hand! It will come as destruction from the Almighty. Therefore all hands will be limp, every man's heart will melt, and they will be afraid. Pangs and sorrows will take hold of *them*; they will be in pain as a woman in childbirth; they will be amazed at one another; their faces *will be like flames*. Behold, the day of the LORD comes, cruel, with both wrath and fierce anger, to lay the land desolate; and He will destroy its sinners from it. For the stars of heaven and their constellations will not give their light; the sun will be darkened in its going forth, and the moon will not cause its light to shine. I will punish the world for *its* evil, and the wicked for their iniquity; I will halt the arrogance of the proud, and will lay low the haughtiness of the terrible. I will make a mortal more rare than fine gold, a man more than the golden wedge of Ophir. Therefore I will shake the heavens, and the earth will move out of her place, in the wrath of the LORD of hosts and in the day of His fierce anger. (Isa. 13:6-13)

In another key passage concerning the destruction of Babylon, Jeremiah relates that the time is to be connected with the national regeneration of Israel: " 'In those days and in that time,' says the LORD, 'The iniquity of Israel shall be sought, but *there shall be none*; And the sins of Judah, but they shall not be found; For I will pardon those whom I preserve' " (Jer. 50:20). This is the time when the Deliverer comes out of Zion and turns ungodliness from Jacob (who is Israel, Rom. 11:26-27), as prefigured in Ezekiel's vision of the dry bones (Eze. 37). This connects the destruction of Babylon with the [time of Jacob's trouble](#)—the Tribulation which precedes the Millennial Kingdom. This is confirmed by the book of Revelation where the destruction of Babylon is found under the very last judgment, the seventh bowl of God's wrath (Rev. 16:19+).

The Identity of Babylon

Having established that historical Babylon never faced the destruction predicted in Scripture and that such destruction will occur during the time of the end, we now turn to the question of the identity of Babylon.²⁰ It must be observed that this question does not arise primarily from Scripture, but from *unbelief*. For an examination of Scripture shows no confusion as to the identity of Babylon. [Babylon is simply Babylon!](#) Yet, the divergence between what history records and Scripture predicts concerning this city has caused many to question whether "Babylon" is really to be understood in its literal sense or whether it might not be symbolic, denoting some other physical location or even an abstract spiritual or political movement—not a city at all. The collision between God's word and history to date has caused many to abandon the literal interpretation of the identity of Babylon in search of other solutions.

Five prominent approaches for identifying Babylon in Revelation 17+18+ [include]: the world, Jerusalem, Rome, an end time religious system, and futuristic, literal, rebuild Babylon. Other interpretive options exist, such as viewing Babylon as the Roman Catholic Church or as an eclectic amalgamation of two or more of these views.²¹

We will attempt to acquaint the reader with the most popular views together with what we believe to be their weaknesses in comparison with the literal view. There is considerable overlap between some of the views so our treatment is general and intended mainly to serve as background information for understanding why we believe Babylon is best understood as the [literal city on the banks of the Euphrates River](#). For a more thorough treatment of the alternative views, see [Woods, [What is the Identity of Babylon In Revelation 17-18?](#)].

- [What is the Identity of Babylon in Revelation 17-18?](#) - 66 page paper by Dr Andy Woods with almost 300 footnotes and extensive bibliography
-

Babylon is the World?

This view holds that Babylon of the time of the end represents a world-spanning global, anti-God system. Usually, the system is partitioned into ecclesiastical and commercial elements which are associated with the [Harlot](#) (Rev. 17+) and the city (Rev. 18+), respectively:

Babylon stands for all that is the world, as over against the call of the heart of God. . . . There is a form of Babylon which is political, and there is a form which is religious.²²

What is before us now is the mystic Babylon, that huge system of spiritual adultery and corruption which holds sway over the whole prophetic scene. It is scarcely possible [writing before 1860!] to conceive of a huge system of wickedness eagerly embraced by the nations once called Christian. It will nevertheless be so.²³

[Isaiah 13:6 is a] prefigurement of the final destruction of Babel (Babylon), connoting prophetically the disordered political and governmental system that characterizes the earth during “the times of the Gentiles” (Luke 21:24; Rev. 18:1-24+). This political Babylon, together with ecclesiastical Babylon . . . shall be destroyed at the second advent of Christ. Political Babylon stands in contrast to the divine order (Isa. 11:1-12:6) with Israel in her own land, the center of spiritual blessing and the divine world government of the King-Messiah (Isa. 2:1-5).²⁴

In this view, the term Babylon is not to be associated with any physical location, but denotes the practices which originated in Babylon and then spread throughout the world. Thus, to destroy “Babylon” is to destroy these religious and commercial systems, wherever they may be found.

The ancient Babylon is better understood here as the archetypal head of all entrenched worldly resistance to God. Babylon is a trans-historical reality including idolatrous kingdoms as diverse as Sodom, Gomorrah, Egypt, Babylon, Tyre, Nineveh, and Rome. Babylon is an eschatological symbol of satanic deception and power; it is a divine mystery that can never be wholly reducible to empirical earthly institutions. It may be said that Babylon represents the total culture of the world apart from God.²⁵

We believe this view, while having some measure of truth, is inadequate. It properly accounts for the global influence clearly attributed to Babylon (Rev. 17:5+, Rev 17:15+, Rev 17:18+; Rev 18:24+), but in doing so it also overlooks many aspects which are difficult to interpret as pertaining to anything other than a specific physical location. Babylon is called a *city* and is associated with the Euphrates River. She is destroyed at the hands of the [Beast](#) and his ten kings (Rev. 17:16-18+), but they themselves are not destroyed by this same event. Yet they represent worldly ungodliness in the extreme. At her destruction, she becomes a dwelling place for demons (Rev. 18:2+). Mention is made of merchants, ships, musicians, and craftsmen. Furthermore, she is differentiated from the nations which she influenced (Rev. 14:8+; Rev 17:2+; Rev 18:2+, Rev 18:23+). If she *deceived* the nations (Rev. 18:23+), how can she *be* those ungodly systems whom she herself produced by her deception? Another weakness of this view, which is shared by other views, is the artificial distinction which is made between [The Great Harlot](#) and the city Babylon. We believe this distinction is brought *to* the text, but not derived *from* the text which indicates they are one and the same (Rev. 17:18+; Rev 18:21+-19:2+).²⁶ A variation of this view takes Babylon to be a literal city, but as any commercial center at the time of the end which God chooses to judge, wherever located: “As far as we are concerned, the ‘city’ that represents commercial Babylon could be any great port city in the world to which the commerce of the world should be transferred, and which should thus head up the whole idea of commerce to be brought into judgment and sudden destruction.”²⁷ Thus *Babylon* could have been Liverpool when it was a great shipping port or New York today or any ungodly city of tomorrow. The “city” represents the concept of commercialism, wherever God happens to decide to judge it when the time is right. But contrary to Barnhouse and others, Scripture knows of no *commercial Babylon* as opposed to any other *noncommercial Babylon*. See [One or Two Babylons?](#) See [The Great Harlot](#) See [Babylon is Babylon!](#)

Babylon is Ecclesiastical?

Another quite popular view sees Babylon primarily as an ecclesiastical system (Rev. 17+), but also having commercial attributes (Rev. 18+). This view takes the word *mystery* written upon her forehead (Rev. 17:5+) as part of her title rather than an indication that there is a mystery associated with John’s vision concerning her role in the time of the end. See the discussion of the identity of [The Great Harlot](#). See [Mystery Babylon?](#)

Babylon is Rome?

Perhaps the most popular view concerning the identity of Babylon is that she represents the city of Rome.

Tertullian, Irenaeus, and Jerome use Babylon as representing the Roman Empire. In the Middle Ages Rome is frequently styled “the

Western Babylon.” The sect of the Fraticelli, an eremitical organization from the Franciscans in the fourteenth century, who carried the vow of poverty to the extreme and taught that they were possessed of the Holy Spirit and exempt from sin—first familiarized the common mind with the notion that Rome was the Babylon, the great harlot of the Book of Revelation.²⁸

This interpretation goes back at least to the time of Tertullian (*AdvMarc* iii.13). It was adopted by Jerome and Augustine and has been commonly accepted by the Church. There are some strong reasons for accepting it. (1) The characteristics ascribed to this Babylon apply to Rome rather than to any other city of that age:(a) as ruling over the kings of the earth (Rev. 17:18[±]); (b) as sitting on seven mountains (Rev. 17:9[±]); (c) as the center of the world’s merchandise (Rev. 18:2[±] Rev 19:2[±]); (d) as the persecutor of the saints (Rev. 17:6[±]).²⁹

Because Rome, with the Vatican, is home to the global system of Roman Catholicism, the identity of Babylon as the city of Rome has often gone hand-in-hand with the view that *The Great Harlot* represents Roman Catholicism, possibly wed with other religious systems. See *Mystery Babylon?*The identity of Babylon with Rome has been bolstered by three events of history:

1. **The fall of Jerusalem in A.D. 70** - In a similar way that Babylon destroyed Jerusalem and *Solomon’s Temple* in the days of Nebuchadnezzar, Rome destroyed Jerusalem and the *Second Temple* under Titus. This established Rome as a key enemy of Israel and Jerusalem prior to the time of John’s writing. Thus it is thought to be only natural that *Babylon* would be used as a code name for *Rome*.³⁰
2. **Christian Persecution** - For the early church (remembering that John wrote from exile on Patmos during the reign of Domitian), the modern-day persecutor of the saints was Rome. Although John’s prophecies concerned the time of the end, those who have sought to restrict fulfillment to his immediate audience can find no other viable candidate outside of Rome. At that time, she was indeed “the center of the world’s merchandise [and] the persecutor of the saints.”³¹
3. **The Reformation** - When the reformers broke away from Roman Catholicism, the prophecies concerning Babylon and the *Beast* provided ready ammunition against Rome. By identifying the papal system and Rome with the Beast and Babylon, it could be clearly seen that Roman Catholicism was the predicted enemy of the true faith and destined for eventual destruction. Due to its great utility, this view has dominated Protestant interpretation for many years. “The Romish Church is not only accidentally and as a matter of fact, but in virtue of its very PRINCIPLE, a harlot, the metropolis of whoredom, ‘the mother of harlots’; whereas the evangelical Protestant Church is, according to her principle and fundamental creed, a chaste woman; the Reformation was a protest of the woman against the harlot.”³² See *Relation to the Pope*.

Although evidence for the identification of Babylon with Rome may initially appear convincing, upon careful examination it becomes clear that Babylon cannot mean Rome. Those who propose that Babylon be understood as a code name for Rome often point to evidence of such use in early extra-biblical writings: “For the early church the city of Rome was a contemporary Babylon. [In *II bar.* 11:6; 67:7 and *Sib. Or.* 5:143, 159, 434 (possibly 1Pe. 5:13 as well) Rome is called Babylon.]”³³ However, such evidence is inconclusive because these other writings date much later than the book of Revelation: “Often supporters of the symbolic view use the *Sibylline Oracles* (V. 143, 159, 434) and the *Apocalypse of Baruch* (11:1; 67:7) to prove that Babylon was a code name for Rome (Swete, Charles, Ladd), but the composition of these two works came in the second century, quite a while after John wrote Revelation.”³⁴ Some assert that Peter’s use of the term *Babylon* (1Pe. 5:13) must point to Rome. But this is an argument from silence. It is also possible to take Peter’s mention of Babylon as denoting the city on the banks of the Euphrates, which served as a center of Jewry beyond the time of Peter’s writing (see *Babylon’s Historic Fall*).³⁵ The *Babylon is Rome* view also fails to explain passages in the *OT* which designate Babylon as the place of final judgment. Identifying Babylon as Rome implies that God gave numerous prophecies utilizing a code name which would not obtain its true meaning until hundreds of years later. Thus, the prophecies given to the original recipients could not be understood using the normal meaning of terms with which they were familiar. Such a view violates the rules of historical-grammatical interpretation and turns the interpretation of prophetic passages into a guessing game. See *The Importance of Meaning*. The mention of “seven mountains” (or hills) in conjunction with the *Harlot* (Rev. 17:9[±]) is often seen as an allusion to seven hills known to be associated with Rome:

The Rome view is also built upon the assumption that the seven hills of Revelation 17:9[±] identify the topography of the ancient city of Rome. Because literature of the ancient world contains dozens of references to the seven hills of Rome, the ancient city of Rome was universally known as the city of the seven hills. Thus, such a topographical reference would immediately suggest Rome in the minds of John’s original audience. This suggestion is especially true given the fact that the seven hills were the nucleus of the city on the left bank of the Tiber River and given the fact that an unusual festival called the septimontium received its name because of this topographical feature.

In addition, the notion that John’s audience would have understood the imagery of Revelation 17[±] as referring to the topography of Rome seems strengthened by the discovery of the *Dea Roma* Coin minted in A.D. 71 in Asia Minor. One side of the coin contains the portrait of the emperor. The reverse side of the coin depicts Rome, a Roman pagan goddess, sitting on seven hills seated by the

waters of the Tiber River. There are obvious similarities between the *Dea Roma* Coin and the imagery of Revelation 17⁺. In both cases, the goddess and the harlot are seated on seven hills and are seated either on or by the waters (Rev. 17:1⁺). In addition, the name of the goddess was thought by many Romans to be Amor, which is Roma spelled backwards. Amor was the goddess of love and sexuality. Thus, both the woman on the coin and the woman in Revelation 17⁺ represent harlotry (Rev. 17:5⁺). Furthermore, the coin equates Roma with the power of the Roman Empire, which was active in persecuting Christians of John's day. The placement of Vespasian on one side of the coin and Roma on the other makes this connection. . . . The goddess is also pictured as holding a sword, which may depict Rome's imperial power. This imagery parallels with the woman in Revelation 17⁺ who is said to be drunk with the blood of the saints [Rev. 17:6⁺].³⁶

This association sounds convincing until one studies the text of Revelation 17⁺ more closely. Unfortunately, the KJV translation is misleading here in its inference that the seven mountains are *different* from the seven kings: "And there are seven kings." This leaves the interpreter with the notion that the seven heads are seven mountains on which the woman sits and there are (*also*, NKJV) seven kings which are not to be identified directly with the hills. As we show in our commentary on [Revelation 17:10](#), the Greek actually says that the "seven heads *are* seven mountains . . . and they *are* seven kings." Thus, the mountains are to be understood in their typical Scriptural usage as denoting *kingdoms* (Jer. 51:25; Dan. 2:35; Zec. 4:7) and may not be related to topography at all. The Great Harlot is said to *sit* on these mountains. She is also said to *sit* on peoples, multitudes, nations, and tongues. Thus, her sitting probably speaks of the scope of her influence and control rather than a physical location. Another problem with taking Babylon to be Rome is her relatively late appearance as a major empire. [The Great Harlot](#) is said to be "that great city" (Rev. 17:18⁺). She is also said to be the "mother of harlots and of the abominations of the earth" (Rev. 17:5⁺). She is the *source* and *origin* of harlotry (spiritual idolatry) and abominations. Rome can hardly be said to occupy this role because harlotry occurs in the biblical record far in advance of the time of Rome. Those who identify Babylon as Rome often point to the undeniable similarities between the history and practices of Roman Catholicism and what is said concerning [The Great Harlot](#). But does this mean that Babylon *is* Rome? Might it not simply reflect the truth that Rome is one of the Harlot's most influential *daughter harlots* of history?

The points of correspondence between Rev. 17⁺ and the history of Romanism are too many and too marked to be set down as mere co-incidences. Undoubtedly the Papacy has supplied a fulfillment of the symbolic prophecy found in Rev. 17⁺. And therein has lain its practical value for God's people all through the dark ages. It presented to them a warning too plain to be disregarded. It was the means of keeping the garments of the Waldenses (and many others) unspotted by her filth. It confirmed the faith of Luther and his contemporaries, that they were acting according to the revealed will of God, when they separated themselves from that which was so manifestly opposed to His truth. But, nevertheless, there are other features in this prophecy which do not apply to Romanism, and which compel us to look elsewhere for the complete and final fulfillment. We single out but two of these. . . . In Rev. 17:5⁺ Babylon is termed 'the Mother of harlots and abominations of the earth.' Is this an accurate description of Romanism? Were there no 'harlot' systems before her? . . . The Papacy had not come into existence when John wrote the Revelation, so that she cannot be held responsible for all the 'abominations' which preceded her. . . . Again; in Rev. 17:2⁺ we read of 'the great Whore' that 'the kings of the earth have committed fornication' with her. Is that applicable in its fulness to Rome? Have the kings of Asia and the kings of Africa committed fornication with the Papacy? It is true that the Italian pontiffs have ruled over a wide territory, yet it is also true that there are many lands which have remained untouched by their religious influence. It is evident from these two points alone that we have to go back to something which long antedates the rise of the Papacy, and to something which has exerted a far wider influence than has any of the popes. . . . Papal Rome, was only one of the polluted streams from this corrupt source [Babel] - one of the filthy 'daughters' of this unclean Mother of Harlots.³⁷

The biblical accounts from the OT give greater attention to Babel, Assyria, Egypt, Babylon, Persia, and Greece because they were great powers far in advance of Rome. Thus, Rome cannot be a *mother* in the sense required of the Harlot on the Beast. Nor can Rome provide the necessary support for the ride of the Harlot throughout history as implied by the [seven heads](#) on the Beast she rides (Rev. 17:3⁺ cf. Rev. 13:1⁺) which are associated with the dragon (Rev. 12:3⁺) who has ruled kingdoms throughout history (Luke 4:5-6; John 12:31; 1Jn. 5:19). Those who identify Babylon as Rome often place great emphasis upon the similarities between what is said of the Harlot and what history records of Roman Catholicism. Yet, taking the Harlot as Rome also conflicts with the Roman connection which Scripture records concerning the Beast (Dan. 7:8, 20; Dan. 9:26):

The identification of the harlot as Rome is problematic because one ends up with two images for Rome; the beast and the harlot. . . . If these two characters represent the same entity, why are they depicted as two separate entities in [Rev. 17:11⁺ and Rev 17:18⁺]? Why is the beast punished in Revelation 19⁺ after the harlot has already been destroyed in Revelation 18⁺? If these two characters represent the same entity, how are they able to interact with one another? Revelation 17:3⁺ depicts the woman as riding on the beast. How can Rome ride upon Rome? Revelation 17:16-17⁺ depicts the beast destroying the woman. How can Rome destroy Rome? Perhaps it is possible to propose that the imagery could be satisfied through Nero's burning of Rome in A.D. 64. However, the destruction of Rome portrayed in Revelation 17:16-17⁺ cannot be a picture of Nero burning Rome because Nero did not destroy Rome in its entirety. Rather he only wanted to destroy part of Rome in order to make room for a building project. In sum, the imagery makes more sense if Rome destroys a rival power. This fact should prevent interpreters from identifying the woman with Rome.³⁸

Although the idea that *Babylon is Rome* may seem intriguing at first, we believe there are significant liabilities attending the view. Chief among them are the problem of language—making OT passages which speak of Babylon be reinterpreted hundreds of years later to denote an entirely different city—and the lack of the necessary historical significance in Rome’s early history to account for her as the mother of harlotry and abominations. See [Old Testament Context](#).

Babylon is Jerusalem?

Some [preterist interpreters](#), such as Chilton, believe that *Babylon* is a code name for *Jerusalem*.³⁹

The primary thrust of the prophecy has been directed against Jerusalem . . . John gives us no indication that the subject has been changed. As we shall see in Chapters 17 and 18, the evidence that the prophetic Babylon was Jerusalem is nothing short of overwhelming.⁴⁰

In his first epistle, presumably written before the Revelation, St. Peter described the local church from which he wrote as “she who is in Babylon” (1Pe. 5:13). Many have supposed this to be Rome, where St. Peter was (according to tradition) later martyred, but it is much more likely that the apostle was in Jerusalem when he wrote these words. Based on data from the New Testament itself, our natural assumption should be that “Babylon” was Jerusalem, since that was where he lived and exercised his ministry.⁴¹

[Preterists](#) find support for this surprising claim in the great similarity between passages concerning apostate Jerusalem and what is said concerning the [Harlot](#). But if the Harlot is the mother of all harlots, this is to be expected. It is important to recognize that the Harlot influenced *all nations*, including Israel. For “in her [the Harlot] was found the blood of prophets and saints, and of all who were slain on the earth” (Rev. 18:24+). Thus, similarities between apostate Israel and the Harlot are *certain* to occur. But, as we have seen before, similarity does not make identity! An impressive array of [OT](#) Scriptures can be lined up in an attempt to prove that the Harlot is Jerusalem or Israel. However, this fails to account for another extensive list of passages which prove otherwise (often omitted by the *Babylon is Jerusalem* proponents). Identifying Babylon as Jerusalem completely contradicts the OT foundation upon which the destruction of Babylon set forth within the book of Revelation stands (Isa. 13, 14, 47; Jer. 50, 51). When we examine these OT passages, we find a consistent distinction between Babylon, the subject of God’s wrath, and Jerusalem and Israel, whom God will avenge:

For the LORD will have mercy on Jacob, and will still choose Israel, and settle them in their ownland. The strangers will be joined with them, and they will cling to the house of Jacob. Then people will take them and bring them to their place, and the house of Israel will possess them for servants and maids in the land of the LORD; they will take them captive whose captives they were, and rule over their oppressors. It shall come to pass in the day the LORD gives you rest from your sorrow, and from your fear and the hard bondage in which you were made to serve, that you will **take up this proverb against the king of Babylon**, and say: “How the oppressor has ceased, The golden city ceased!” (Isa. 14:1-4) [emphasis added]

Listen to Me, **O Jacob, And Israel, My called:** I *am* He, I *am* the First, I *am* also the Last. Indeed My hand has laid the foundation of the earth, and My right hand has stretched out the heavens; *When* I call to them, they stand up together. All of you, assemble yourselves, and hear! Who among them has declared these *things*? The LORD loves him; **He shall do His pleasure on Babylon, and His arm shall be against the Chaldeans.** (Isa. 48:12-14) [emphasis added]

“Israel *is* like scattered sheep; the lions have driven *him* away. First the king of Assyria devoured him; now at last this Nebuchadnezzar king of Babylon has broken his bones.” Therefore **thus says the LORD of hosts, the God of Israel** “Behold, **I will punish the king of Babylon and his land**, as I have punished the king of Assyria. **But I will bring back Israel to his home**, and he shall feed on Carmel and Bashan; His soul shall be satisfied on Mount Ephraim and Gilead. In those days and in that time,” says the LORD, “The iniquity of Israel shall be sought, but *there shall be* none; and the sins of Judah, but they shall not be found; for I will pardon those whom I preserve.” (Jer. 50:17-20) [emphasis added]

Thus says the LORD: “Behold, **I will raise up against Babylon, against those who dwell in Leb Kamaj** a destroying wind. And I will send winnowers to Babylon, who shall winnow her and empty her land. For in the day of doom they shall be against her all around. Against *her* let the archer bend his bow, and lift himself up against *her* in his armor. Do not spare her young men; utterly destroy all her army. Thus **the slain shall fall in the land of the Chaldeans** and *those* thrust through in her streets. **For Israel is not forsaken, nor Judah, by his God, the LORD of hosts**, though their land was filled with sin against the Holy One of Israel. Flee from the midst of Babylon, and every one save his life! Do not be cut off in her iniquity, for this *is* the time of the LORD’S vengeance; He shall recompense her.” (Jer. 51:1-6) [emphasis added]

“**And I will repay Babylon** And all the inhabitants of Chaldea **For all the evil they have done in Zion** in your sight,” says the LORD. (Jer. 51:24) [emphasis added]

“Let the violence *done* to me and my flesh *be upon Babylon*,” the inhabitant of **Zion** will say; “And my blood be upon the inhabitants of Chaldea!” Jerusalem will say. (Jer. 51:35) [emphasis added]

As **Babylon** *has caused* the slain of **Israel** to fall, so at Babylon the slain of all the earth shall fall. (Jer. 51:49) [emphasis added]

If language means anything, the interpreter cannot simply *reverse* the meaning of numerous passages of Scripture to suit his own predilection! But this is exactly what the preterist does. Between the OT and the [NT](#), he completely reverses the meaning of words. *Israel* no longer means the nation of Israel, but now is to be read *Church*. *Babylon* no longer means the city on the banks of the Euphrates River in the land of Shinar, but now is to be read as *Jerusalem*! This illustrates some of the many dangers of [Replacement Theology](#) as fueled by the preterist interpretation:

- **Scriptural Confusion** - Words are elastic and their meanings can be changed after-the-fact and even be completely inverted. God’s numerous OT prophecies and promises concerning *Jerusalem* and *Israel* are now reinterpreted to mean something else entirely. If we were to adopt the preterist interpretation, we could only conclude that *in their original context such prophecies were misleading and even downright misrepresentations*, for the way they were understood in the common language of the prophet and his listeners was not their true meaning.⁴²
- **Dangerous Teachings** - The inversion of meaning associated with various passages results in all sorts of unscriptural beliefs which can lead the believer, without even being aware of it, into a position in opposition to God’s will. For example, those who believe that the Church is the *new Israel* are likely to stand opposed to the true Israel in her claims based on God’s OT promises. Such believers stand opposed to God’s heritage (Jer. 50:11; Joel 3:2)!
- **Denial of God’s Word** - God’s promises no longer are reliable. If promises concerning the literal city Babylon and the literal nation Israel in the OT no longer apply to these same entities, but now are to be understood in an entirely new way—not just broader, but in a way which *denies the meaning of the original context*, then what confidence can we have in God’s promises to us? How do we know that eternal life is really eternal? How do we know the New Jerusalem is in fact a real city and as glorious as the NT describes? If we use similar interpretive techniques as the preterist, we may just find when we get to heaven that what God said in the NT—based upon the common rules of language and the context of the recipients—is not at all what He meant. Assigning such malleable meaning to the words of Scripture undermines the promises of Scripture and maligns the nature of God. It is no small matter!

The confusion of the preterists results in their denial of other things which are revealed concerning Babylon, such as the permanence of her destruction:

If the Babylon = Jerusalem hypothesis is correct then Jerusalem will never be rebuilt again. Revelation 18:21-23⁺ describes the permanent destruction of Babylon. . . . according to the Babylon = Jerusalem view, Jerusalem was destroyed in A.D. 70 and will never be rebuilt again. Yet, how can this be a description of Jerusalem when scripture repeatedly speaks of its return to prominence during the millennial reign (Isa. 2:3; Zec. 14:16; Rev. 20:9⁺)? Scripture is quite plain that God still has a plan for ethnic Israel and yet the Jerusalem view seems to teach the opposite.⁴³

We also saw that at her destruction, Babylon will *never be inhabited again*. Clearly, Babylon cannot be Jerusalem, for Jerusalem is currently inhabited and has never been—nor shall ever be—destroyed in the manner which Scripture describes of Babylon. See [The Destruction of Babylon](#). There is also a problem of pedigree when an attempt is made to identify Jerusalem as Babylon. Babylon is said to be, “the mother of harlots and of the abominations of the earth” (Rev. 17:5⁺). Scripture indicates the Jerusalem, at her worst times, is merely a *daughter* harlot:

Thus says the LORD God to Jerusalem, . . . “Indeed everyone who quotes proverbs will use this proverb against you: ‘Like mother, like daughter!’ **You are your mother’s daughter**, loathing husband and children; and you are the sister of your sisters, who loathed their husbands and children; your mother was a Hittite and your father an Amorite.’ ” (Eze. 16:3, 44-45) [emphasis added]

Son of man, there were two women, The **daughters of one mother**. They committed harlotry in Egypt, They committed harlotry in their youth; Their breasts were there embraced, Their virgin bosom was there pressed. Their names: Oholah the elder and Oholibah her sister; They were Mine, And they bore sons and daughters. *As for* their names, **Samaria is Oholah**, and **Jerusalem is Oholibah**. (Eze. 23:2-4) [emphasis added]

God, through Ezekiel, goes on to describe how Oholiah (Samaria, the northern kingdom) derived her harlotry from Egypt (Eze. 23:8) and was given into the hands of her lovers, Assyria (Eze. 23:9). When her sister, Oholibah (Jerusalem, representing the southern kingdom) saw her fate, rather than repenting she became even more corrupt. Then, her eyes lusting after the equivalent of pornographic images:

She increased her harlotry; She looked at men portrayed on the wall, Images of Chaldeans portrayed in vermilion, Girded with belts

around their waists, Flowing turbans on their heads, All of them looking like captains, **In the manner of the Babylonians of Chaldea, The land of their nativity.** (Eze 23:14-15) [emphasis added]

In this significant passage of Ezekiel, Israel's harlotry is repeatedly said to derive from Egypt (Eze. 23:8, 19, 27). Thus, she is a *daughter* harlot. In this same passage describing Jerusalem's harlotry, Ezekiel links the nativity of her partners to *Babylon*. Like Rome, Jerusalem lacks the necessary antiquity to bear the dubious label of *mother of harlots*. See commentary on [Revelation 17:5](#). Beale notes that evidence is lacking that "Babylon" has ever been a symbolic name for Israel: "There is not one example of 'Babylon' ever being a symbolic name for Israel, either before or after 70 A.D. . . . the burden of proof rests on those maintaining the Babylon = Jerusalem identification."⁴⁴ Another major weakness of the view that Babylon is Jerusalem is found in the [dating](#) of the book of Revelation. Unless John wrote the book before the fall of Jerusalem in A.D. 70, then it becomes impossible to assign the destruction of Babylon in the book of Revelation to that event:

The view that Babylon is a code name for Jerusalem derives from a worldview that requires the writing and fulfillment of the Apocalypse before A.D. 70. Besides an impossible date for the book's writing, this view goes against the historical fact that Jerusalem is related to the people of God and Babylon to the world at large (Lee).⁴⁵

Nor does it make sense for Jerusalem to be Babylon when the earthly Jerusalem is a type or pattern for the New Jerusalem which is contrasted at every point with Babylon. See [Babylon and the New Jerusalem](#). The view that Babylon means Jerusalem has almost nothing to recommend it and represents a most serious distortion of the word of God.

EDITORIAL ADDENDUM - Dr Andy Woods in his excellent discussion [Babylon the Bookends of History - Part 2](#) gives an example of a popular modern writer who interprets **Babylon as Jerusalem** - Hank Hanegraaff, in his book *The Apocalypse Code*, this does not mean misunderstanding something he said on the radio, this is something in his book, and he writes this: What has puzzled me over the years is not the identity of "the great prostitute," but how so many could mistake her historical identity... In biblical history only one nation is inextricably linked to the moniker "harlot." And that nation is Israel! Anyone who has read the Bible even once has flashbacks to the graphic images of apostate Israel when they first encounter the great prostitute of Revelation. From the Pentateuch to the Prophets, the image is repeated endlessly. Perhaps the most gut-wrenching portrayal of Israel is the prostitute found in Hosea." [\[Hank Hanegraaff, The Apocalypse Code: Find out What the Bible Really Says About the End Times and Why It Matters \(Nashville, TN: Nelson, 2007\), 118, 19-20.\]](#)

This fits with his replacement theology, that God is through with Israel, and after all she is a harlot and God is going to wipe her out or destroy her. In fact, Hanegraaff believes God already destroyed Israel in A. D. 70. He believes this, the Book of Revelation, is a prophecy written in the 60's largely fulfilled in the events of A.D. 70. Why does he think that? He thinks "harlot" means Jerusalem; "harlot" means Israel! And yes it does many times mean that in the Old Testament. But what about Isaiah 23:17, where Tyre, modern day Lebanon, a Gentile city, is called a what? A harlot. [Isaiah 23:17, "It will come about at the end of seventy years that the Lord will visit Tyre. Then she will go back to her harlot's wages and will play the harlot with all the kingdoms on the face of the earth."]

What about Nahum, chapter 3, verse 4 where Nineveh, the city that Jonah fled from and was commanded to preach to, a Gentile city, is also called a harlot. [Nahum 3:4, "All because of the many harlotries of the harlot, the charming one, the mistress of sorceries, who sells nations by her harlotries and families by her sorceries."] So yes, Jerusalem is called a harlot many times in the Bible but so are Gentile cities. So why would it be a big deal to have Babylon in modern day Iraq also called a harlot? What's interesting is as you go through the Bible the name "Jerusalem" is mentioned 800 times. The name Babylon is mentioned 300 times, all the way through the Old Testament. In fact, Babylon is where the nation of Israel went into captivity to; those cities were always kept separate. They're always kept independent.

So why would John, at the end of the Book of Revelation take those cities, which are always separate, always independent, and merge them together? That's an impossibility! Beyond that, how could the Book of Revelation be fulfilled in A.D. 70 when it's very clear from church history, going back to Irenaeus, that the Book of Revelation was written in A.D. 95. Isn't A. D. 95 after A. D. 70, by a quarter of a century? How could a book written 25 years later be a prophecy about something that happened 25 years earlier? Do you follow? So this idea that somehow Babylon equals Jerusalem, whether it's from the preterist angle, meaning past, the angle that Hanegraaff is coming from, or any other angle, just doesn't make any sense. So it's not a religious system, it's not Jerusalem.

ADDENDUM: [Replacement Theology - Dr Anthony Garland](#) - There are a number of reasons why

replacement theology is fairly widely taught.

1. Lack of exposure to the Old Testament.
2. The common belief that the New Testament "reinterprets" (changes) the meaning of promises in the Old Testament.
3. The assumption that the age of the Church represents the apex and terminus of what God plans to do in history.
4. A bias against the idea that God has unfulfilled plans for a specific nation: least of all for Israel.
5. Latent antisemitism. (I'm not saying that all who tend toward replacement of Israel by the Church are antisemitic. But replacement serves the purposes of those who are truly antisemitic.)
6. Political correctness: portraying Israel as having a continued biblical claim to the land specified in the Bible goes against popular political opinion.
7. Academic respectability: it is academically in vogue to spiritualize passages or to classify them by various genres to deny their plain meaning.
8. Satan: persecution of the Jews and preventing their coming to faith in Jesus Christ is one part of the demonic agenda (Mt. 23:39; Rev. 12). Keeping Christians in the dark about God's Israel-centric purposes in history aids that agenda.

Those seminaries which teach variations of replacement theology consider their approach to be the accurate way of interpreting the Scriptures. They believe that those seminaries which believe the Bible describes a continued role for the nation of Israel, distinguishing between the Church and that nation in relation to God's promises, are in error and need to be corrected instead. So I wouldn't expect those schools to change their stance anytime soon.

Instead, I would seek out teachers or schools which take a more literal approach to bible interpretation. Especially those who hold that the meaning of the Old Testament does not depend upon the subsequent arrival—hundreds of years later—of the New Testament in order to understand what the Old Testament meant.

When evaluating a potential school, there are any number of questions one might ask to determine their stance on this issue. For example: ask them to explain their understanding of the meaning of the promised land and whether it has continued relevance for the future? Or what do they believe regarding the meaning of the thousand year reign described in Revelation 20? Do they consider the idea of a "millennial kingdom" to be biblical and what is the role of Jerusalem and Israel during this time period?

RELATED RESOURCES:

- [Evils of Replacement Theology](#) - Dr David Reagan - [you need to watch the video for maximum impact - you will be surprised and even shocked by what you learn!](#)
- [Replacement Theology: What We Should Know – Part 1](#) - Gabe Morris
- [Replacement Theology: What We Should Know – Part 2](#) - Gabe Morris
- [Replacement Theology: What We Should Know – Part 3](#) - Gabe Morris
- [Replacement Theology: What We Should Know – Part 4](#) - Gabe Morris
- [What is replacement theology / supersessionism / fulfillment theology - gotquestions.org](#)
- [What is replacement theology? Does God still have a plan for Israel? podcast - gotquestions.org](#)

Babylon is Babylon!

It is our view that *Babylon* simply means . . . *Babylon!* The only problem with taking Babylon in its literal sense is one of timing and faith. Because the modern site of Babylon in no way resembles what is described in the book of Revelation, there is opportunity for doubt concerning what God has said. This is nothing new: "Has God indeed said . . . ?" (Gen. 3:1).

What is the explanation for this reluctance to believe that John meant Babylon when he wrote "Babylon"? Even at the time John was writing, Babylon was still a viable city, with a substantial colony of Jews (the famous Babylonian *Talmud* originated in or near there, about 500 years after the time of Christ) and there was a significant Christian church there as well (1 Peter. 5:13). At the very least, it would be confusing to John's first century readers, as well as to later generations, for him to write so much about Babylon when he really meant Rome . . . or "the false church."⁴⁶

The current situation in regard to the literal city of Babylon reminds us of the position of many earlier interpreters concerning the predictions of the [OT](#) in regard to Israel. Prior to her reestablishment in 1948, it was difficult for many to believe that unfulfilled passages concerning a people who had been dispersed for nearly 2,000 years could ever be taken in a literal fashion as pertaining

to a physical nation yet future. Today, we thrill to read those interpreters who held to a literal understanding of Israel over the many years when Israel seemed but a dusty recollection of history. May we be found among a similar cadre of interpreters in our own time concerning the city of Babylon!

Old Testament Context

It is our view that the identity of Babylon ultimately rests on the proper interpretation of God's word. We must examine the meaning of words in their historical context. What did they mean to those who spoke them, heard them, and wrote them down? When the [OT](#) says "Babylon," the promises and predictions are made meaningless if they can mean *any other major commercial city in some future age*. This is akin to redefining "Israel" after the fact, to make it mean "Church" or "people of God." It is fair game for God to *add* to His revelation, expand its inclusiveness by way of progressive revelation, but He is not permitted to *change* the original meaning. "Not permitted?," you say. Yes! God is limited by His own character. The reason God cannot change the original meaning is found in His character: He cannot tell a lie (Num. 23:19; Rom. 3:4; Heb. 6:18; Tit. 1:2). For God to say "Babylon," hundreds of years before Rome is even a factor in the minds of his people and then later have the same word mean "Rome" is simply unacceptable. How is such action essentially any different than telling a lie? At the very least it is extremely *misleading*, something we would dare not accuse God of. God's promises and predictions are only as good as language. If Babylon in the OT predictions can be Rome, London, New York, or Tokyo, then what hope have we of knowing our promises are reliable. What if physical resurrection really doesn't mean physical? Or eternal life isn't quite eternal? There are *two* parties in any communication: an *originator* and a *recipient*. Communication involves the participation of *both*. The originator expressing meaning and the recipient understanding that meaning in the current context based upon common rules of language and the accepted meaning of words. Therefore, *the understanding of the recipient* is a significant factor in establishing the meaning of communication. Although a purposefully deceptive communicator may purposely express his communication in a way in which he knows that the recipient will incorrectly interpret his words, this is not our God. It is His intention and pleasure to communicate to His creatures in a reliable manner. Moreover, His very character and the character of His Word are at stake. How could He possibly elevate His word over His own name (Ps. 138:2), the expression of His very character, and at the same time package it in words which don't mean what they appear to mean, but take on entirely different meanings later on? This is especially important in the matter before us because the term *Babylon* is not isolated to the book of Revelation. Proving that some have used the term to denote Rome in extra-biblical writings is *totally irrelevant* when we consider God's word. Even if it could be shown that Babylon in the [NT](#) is supposed to mean something else (and it can't);⁴⁷ there is still the insurmountable difficulty of making extensive OT passages concerning Babylon mean something entirely different after-the-fact. The problem for interpreters who would attempt to reinterpret Babylon (and the blessing for those of us who do not) is that the meaning and use of the term is *anchored* in the context of the passages in the OT.⁴⁸

This is exactly what is foretold in Jer. 1. There the destruction of Babylon is foretold; for it is "the word that the LORD spake against Babylon" (Jer. 1:1). We have not yet heard of any commentator who thought Jeremiah prophesied this of Rome, or of any other city except the literal Babylon.⁴⁹

Although we believe there are numerous reasons why Babylon in the book of Revelation designates the literal city on the banks of the Euphrates, this issue alone is determinative. The proper historical-grammatical interpretation of the OT passages in their original context precludes all other meanings. As with all passages of Scripture, there will be many different *applications*, but only a single meaning based on the original context.

A Literal City

Beyond the interpretive issue mentioned above, which we feel is conclusive, there are many other reasons for taking Babylon in the book of Revelation to denote the literal city. One such reason is the mention of the Euphrates River in conjunction with what is said concerning Babylon.

The best solution is to assign Babylon its literal significance of the city on the Euphrates by that name. Mentions of the Euphrates River at other points (Rev. 9:14⁺; Rev 16:12⁺) corroborate this which is the natural way to understand it. Place names have their literal significance in Revelation 1:9⁺; Rev 2:1⁺, Rev 2:8⁺, Rev 2:12⁺, Rev 2:18⁺; Rev 3:1⁺, Rev 3:7⁺, Rev 3:14⁺ and the writer is very clear to point it out when he intends a figurative meaning as in Revelation 11:8⁺. A reference to a literal city does not exclude further implications regarding political and religious systems connected with the city (Walvoord).⁵⁰

If Babylon in the book of Revelation is to denote something other than the literal city, we should expect to see significant differences in what is said concerning her. But we do not. Both [OT](#) and [NT](#) speak in concert on the matter of Babylon. It would seem these passages are in agreement concerning their subject—the literal city on the Euphrates:

The imagery of many waters (Rev. 17:1⁺, Rev 17:15⁺) is reminiscent of the waters of Babylon (Ps. 137:1; Jer. 51:13). . . . The boast

of Babylon, "I sit as queen and am no widow, and will not see sorrow" (Rev. 18:7⁺) echoes that of ancient Babylon (Isa. 47:7-9). John also employs imagery from the Tower of Babel. When Revelation 18:5⁺ says, "her sins have piled up as high as heaven," the allusion is possibly to the use of bricks in building the Tower of Babel. . . . Revelation 17⁺-18⁺ also repeatedly draws imagery from the description of Babylon and its destruction given in Jeremiah 50-51. For example, both passages describe Babylon as holding a golden cup (Jer. 51:7; Rev. 17:3-4⁺; Rev 18:6⁺), dwelling on many waters (Jer. 51:13; Rev. 17:1⁺), involved with the nations (Jer. 51:7; Rev. 17:2⁺), and having the same name (Jer. 50:1; Rev. 17:5⁺; Rev 18:10⁺). Moreover, both passages illustrate Babylon's destruction the same way (Jer. 51:63-64; Rev. 18:21⁺) and depict Babylon's destruction as sudden (Jer. 51:8; Rev. 18:8⁺), caused by fire (Jer. 51:30; Rev. 17:16⁺; 18:8⁺), final (Jer. 50:39; Rev. 18:21⁺), and deserved (Jer. 51:63-64; Rev. 18:21⁺). Furthermore, both passages describe the response to Babylon's destruction in terms of God's people fleeing (Jer. 51:6, 45; Rev. 18:4⁺) and heaven rejoicing (Jer. 51:48; Rev. 18:20⁺). Other commentators have also noticed how frequently John in Revelation 17⁺-19⁺ draws from the imagery of Jeremiah 50-51. For example, Thomas observes ten parallels between the two sections of Scripture. Aune also observes at least ten parallels between Jeremiah 50-51 and Revelation 18⁺.⁵¹

Another piece of evidence in favor of a literal Babylon is a literal Israel. As we saw in our discussion concerning [Babylon is Jerusalem?](#), the OT passages dealing with the destruction of Babylon compare and contrast her with Jerusalem. This was one reason why Babylon cannot be Jerusalem. It also provides strong evidence that Babylon is to be taken as the literal city because Jerusalem is taken that way in the same passages. The restoration of the literal, earthly city of Jerusalem (Isa. 62) and the future rule of Messiah from her midst (Jer. 3:17; Zec. 14:16) are as sure as God's word. If Babylon is consistently contrasted with Jerusalem in OT passages, then it is inconsistent to take Jerusalem literally, but Babylon figuratively. If Jerusalem is the literal city on Mount Moriah, then Babylon cannot be said to be New York or merely a commercial or religious system.

Without any spirit of dogmatism, and without entering into the question of the identity and significance of the Babylon in the Revelation—whether mystical or actual—we would express our conviction that there are Scriptures [e.g., Zec. 5] which cannot, according to our judgment, be satisfactorily explained except on the supposition of a revival and yet future judgment of literal Babylon, which for a time will be the centre and embodiment of all the elements of our godless Western "civilisation," and which especially will become the chief *entrepôt* of commerce in the world, . . . To this conviction we are led chiefly by the fact that there are prophecies in the Old Testament concerning the literal Babylon which have never in the past been exhaustively fulfilled, and that Scripture usually connects the final overthrow of Babylon with the yet future restoration and blessing of Israel.⁵²

One reason some reject a literal interpretation is the picture of "mystery" and harlotry associated with Babylon in the book of Revelation (Rev. 17:5⁺). As we shall see in our discussion of [Mystery Babylon?](#), the "mystery" is not related to the identification of the city, but her relationship with the beast upon which she rides. The angel who explains the mystery to John devotes most of the passage talking about her relationship with the seven-headed beast with ten horns (Rev. 17:7-14⁺) and relatively little to the [Harlot](#) herself (Rev. 17:15-18⁺). Even then, he concludes by saying, "And the woman whom you saw is that great city which reigns over the kings of the earth" (Rev. 17:18⁺). He makes sure John understands that the Harlot is a *city*—the literal city of Babylon. The use of harlot imagery does not preclude a related literal meaning, for the angel is showing John that she is both a *city* and a worldwide polluting influence:

The Old Testament uses harlot imagery to depict the Gentile cities of Tyre (Isa. 23:16-17) and Nineveh (Nah. 3:4) while never hinting that these cities are not meant to be understood literally. . . . The same sort of harlot imagery that describes the city in chapter 17 is also employed in chapter 18 (Rev. 18:3⁺, Rev 18:9⁺). Yet, despite these similarities, Walvoord interprets the city in Revelation 17⁺ non-literally while simultaneously interpreting the city in Revelation 18⁺ literally.⁵³

First, [Babylon] signifies a literal city, which shall yet be built in the Land of Shinar, on the banks of the Euphrates. Proof of this was furnished in our last chapter so that we need not pause here to submit the evidence. Six times (significant number!) is 'Babylon' referred to in the Apocalypse, and nowhere is there a hint that the name is not to be understood literally. In the second place, the 'great city' (unnamed) signifies an idolatrous system - 'mother of harlots' a system of idolatry which originated in the Babylon of Nimrod's day, and a system which is to culminate and terminate in another Babylon in a daysoon to come.⁵⁴

Another reason in favor of taking Babylon as a literal city rather than a symbol or figure is found in its representation as the Harlot: "The Whore represents a City. . . . Babylon, must therefore be understood literally, otherwise we should have the anomaly of a figure representing a figure."⁵⁵ The literal view of Babylon has numerous proponents and was held well in advance of the circumstances of our own time (the Gulf War and the overthrow of Iraq by the United States). The literal view is not a reaction to these events, as if an attempt to pour prophecy into the politics of our day. In fact, the literal view has just the opposite characteristic: it is far less susceptible to reinterpretation as the movements and situations of history change with time:

The Babylon view has been criticized as being the product of reading current events regarding the present Iraqi crisis back into the text rather than being the product of sound exegetical principles. . . . However, this accusation seems unfair in light of the fact that numerous interpreters held the view long before Saddam Hussein rose to power. Such commentators include Newell (1935),

Jennings (1937), Cooper (1942), and Lang (1948). Other commentators held the view even before Iraq became a nation in 1932. Such commentators include Seiss (1909) and Larkin (1919). It is true that Dyer released his book advocating the literal Babylon view on the eve of the Gulf War and recently on the eve of the present war with Iraq. However, it should also be noted that the content of these books is based upon Dyer's master's thesis that was completed in May of 1979 long before Hussein's rise to power and escalating tensions between America and Iraq.⁵⁶

In my limited library, I have found a number of men who taught a future [literal] Babylon from Rev. 17:1-18⁺ and Rev 18:1ff⁺. They include the following: B. W. Newton (1853), G. H. Pember (1888), J. A. Seiss (1900), Clarence Larkin (1918), Robert Govett (1920), E. W. Bullinger (1930), William R. Newell (1935), F. C. Jennings (1937), David L. Cooper (1942), G. H. Lang (1945). I am sure that more could be added to the list.⁵⁷

Further evidence for taking Babylon as the literal city is found in the way in which her destruction is illustrated by an angel in the book of Revelation. The angel takes up a stone and throws it into the sea, saying, "Thus with violence the great city Babylon shall be thrown down, and shall not be found anymore" (Rev. 18:21⁺). This is an intentional allusion to a similar prophetic enactment found in Jeremiah:

The word which Jeremiah the prophet commanded Seraiah the son of Neriah, the son of Mahseiah, **when he went with Zedekiah the king of Judah to Babylon** in the fourth year of his reign. And Seraiah was the quartermaster. So Jeremiah wrote in a book all the evil that would come upon Babylon, all these words that are written against Babylon. And Jeremiah said to Seraiah, "**When you arrive in Babylon and see it**, and read all these words, then you shall say, 'O LORD, You have spoken against this place to cut it off, so that none shall remain in it, neither man nor beast, but it shall be desolate forever.' Now it shall be, when you have finished reading this book, that you shall **tie a stone to it and throw it out into the Euphrates** Then you shall say, 'Thus Babylon shall sink and not rise from the catastrophe that I will bring upon her. And they shall be weary.' " Thus far are the words of Jeremiah. (Jer. 51:59-64) [emphasis added]

The angel virtually duplicates the pronouncement and activity of Seraiah in Jeremiah's day. Of particular import is the fact that Jeremiah sent Seraiah with Zedekiah *to Babylon* in order to make his pronouncement of judgment. This is similar to how Jonah was sent to Nineveh. In both cases, the pronounced judgment *concerned a specific geographic location* which God would judge. Moreover, the pronouncement by Seraiah was that of a permanent destruction where neither man *nor beast* would ever remain there. As we have seen, the historical record of literal Babylon does not match the seriousness of this prophecy. The question proponents of a non-literal Babylon must answer is why did Jeremiah go to the trouble of instructing Seraiah to make such a pronouncement at the *specific geographical location of literal Babylon* if the fulfillment is to be found somewhere else? Either at a different city (e.g., Rome, New York) or in the destruction of a generic system? It would seem that the actions of Jeremiah, entrusting the message to Seraiah who was traveling to Babylon, point to God's intention to judge the specific city over which the pronouncement was made. See commentary on [Revelation 18:21](#).

Back to Shinar - Discussion of Zechariah 5:5-11

Another passage which is often overlooked in establishing the identification of Babylon is the puzzling passage which occupies the last half of Zechariah chapter 5. An angel shows Zechariah a basket containing a woman covered with a lead disk. The angel identifies the woman: "This is Wickedness!" (Zec. 5:8). The basket is then transported away by two winged figures.⁵⁸ When Zechariah asks where the basket is bound, the angel responds, "To build a house for it in the land of Shinar; when it is ready, *the basket* will be set there on its base."

First, the prophet sees as "ephah" (or bath) which was the largest measure for dry goods among the Jews. It would, therefore, be the natural symbol for Commerce. Next, we note that twice over it is said that the ephah "goeth forth" (Zec. 5:5, 6). As the whole of the preceding visions concern Jerusalem and her people, this can only mean that the center of Jewish commerce is to be transferred from Palestine elsewhere. Next, we are told that there was a "woman" concealed in the midst of the ephah (Zec. 5:7). We say "concealed," for in Zec. 5:5 and Zec. 5:6 the "woman" is not seen - the leaden cover (cf. Zec. 5:8) had to be lifted before she could be beholden. The writer is satisfied that this hidden woman in the ephah is "the Woman" which is fully revealed in Revelation 17⁺ and 18⁺. Next, we are told that "wickedness" (lawlessness) was cast into the ephah, before its cover was closed again. Then, in what follows, we are shown this ephah, with the "woman" and "wickedness" shut up therein, being rapidly conveyed from Palestine to "the land of Shinar" (Zec. 5:11). The purpose for this is stated to be, "to build a house," i.e. a settled habitation. Finally, we are assured, "it shall be established, and set there (in the land of Shinar) upon her own base." This vision or prophecy contains the germ which is afterwards expanded and developed in such detail in Rev. 17⁺ and 18⁺, where it is shown that "the house" which is established for this system of commerce is "Babylon the great."⁵⁹

When the woman attempts to escape, she is thrown back into the ephah, which becomes, so to say, the chariot in which she is

carried away as something which is defiled and defiling, from the land in which God shall dwell; and the talent with which she carries on her unrighteous trace becomes the heavy weight by which she is held down till she is landed safely “in her own place,” where, after a season of lawless liberty in which she will allure men to their own destruction by her seductive attractiveness and luxury, she will be judged and destroyed, together with him who is pre-eminently styled “The Wicked One,” by the brightness of the Lord’s *parousia* (2Th. 2:8).⁶⁰

One of the helpful aspects of this passage is the mention made of the destination: “the land of Shinar.” This locale is mentioned only a handful of times (Gen. 10:10; 11:2; 14:1, 9; Isa. 11:11; Dan. 1:2; Zec. 5:11) and is clearly established as the region of Babel and Babylon. It points to the geographical location of Babel and, later, Babylon.⁶¹

Shinar: the ancient name of the great alluvial tract through which the Tigris and Euphrates pass before reaching thesea—the tract known in later times as Chaldea or Babylonia. It was a plain country, where brick had to be used for stone and slime for mortar. Gen. 11:3. Among the cities were Babel (Babylon), Erech or Orch (Orchoe), Calneh or Calno (probably Niffer), and Accad, the site of which is unknown. It may be suspected that Shinar was the name by which the Hebrews originally knew the lower Mesopotamian country where they so long dwelt, and which Abraham brought with him from “Ur of the Chaldees.”⁶²

We believe the transport of “Wickedness!” back to the land of Shinar is another piece of evidence that Babylon of the end is a rebuilt literal city in the same geographic location as Babel, the site of man’s [original rebellious city ruled by Nimrod](#). The history of man is to be brought full circle: in the same place where the first king rebelled against God, so too will the last king rule before his demise and the institution of the Millennial Kingdom:

It shows from actual facts and events which are before us the very strong probability that “the land of Shinar”—which in the past was so “prominent in connection with the manifestation of evil on the part of man, and of judgment on the part of God, that it stands peculiarly as a memorial of proud ungodliness met by the visitation of righteous vengeance from above”—will yet, as Scripture forecasts, play a very important part in the consummation of human “wickedness” in the final anti-Christian apostasy, in which a godless Judaism and a corrupt, unbelieving Christianity will be united for the sake of the false peace, and pomp, and luxury, and a humanitarianism dissociated from God and the truth, which the system, outwardly symbolized by the ephah, will for a time minister to them, but which, as Scripture also warns us, will end in the most terrible judgment which has yet befallen man upon the earth.⁶³

There are significant similarities between the woman in the basket and what John sees concerning Babylon in Revelation 17⁺ and 18⁺. We believe these similarities are intentional and that the two are to be connected. The transport of the basket to the land of Shinar establishes the location of end-time Babylon. She will be right where God said! The correlation between Zechariah’s vision and that of John is more than uncanny:

Zechariah 5 and Revelation 17-18⁺

Zechariah 5:5-11	Revelation 17 ⁺ -18 ⁺ ⁶⁴
Woman sitting in a basket.	Woman sitting on the beast, seven mountains, and many waters (Rev. 17:3 ⁺ , 9 ⁺ , 15 ⁺).
Emphasis on commerce (a basket for measuring grain).	Emphasis on commerce.
Woman’s name is wickedness.	Woman’s name is Babylon the Great, Mother of Harlots and Abominations of the Earth.
Focus on false worship (a temple is built for the woman).	Focus on false worship (Rev. 17:5 ⁺).
Woman is taken to Babylon.	Woman is called Babylon.

It is our view that the only obstacle to taking Babylon in the book of Revelation as the literal city on the banks of the Euphrates River is one of timing and faith. Obviously, if Babylon is the literal city, then the events of the book of Revelation are still some distance off from the time of our writing. It will take time for events to conspire such that the city will be rebuilt to become a global hub for world trade. This is really the only obstacle between the Scriptural passages before us and a literal fulfillment. Fortunately, the One Who wrote the predictions also has all the time in the world and is faithful to keep His word!

And the LORD answered me, and said, write the vision, and make *it* plain upon tables, that he may run that readeth it. **For the vision *is* yet for an appointed time, but at the end it shall speak, and not lie: though it tarry, wait for it; because it will surely come, it will not tarry.** (Hab. 2:2-3) [emphasis added]

The Great Harlot

Having examined some of the views concerning the identity of Babylon in the book of Revelation, we now turn our attention to the identity of the [Harlot](#) whom John is shown in Revelation 17+.

Her Harlotry

The woman which John sees riding the [Beast](#) of [seven heads](#) and [ten horns](#) is called a *harlot*, indicating certain practices and priorities which stand opposed to God. She is not just a harlot, but is said to be the *mother* of harlots and of abominations of the earth (Rev. 17:5+). Thus, she has an *originating role* in the harlotry and abominations which God so hates. As early as the Law of Moses, certain practices of the Israelites were prohibited and identified by God as *abominations* (Lev. 7:18; 11 cf. Deu. 18:9-12). Many of these practices (e.g., homosexuality, Lev. 18:22) were obviously considered as abominations from the very beginning—far in advance of Babylon of Nebuchadnezzar's day or even the kingdom of Egypt. Since the [Harlot](#) is considered the *mother* of the abominations of the earth, she must predate both Egypt and Nebuchadnezzar's Babylon. This is also reflected in her ride upon the seven-headed beast (Rev. 17:3+) who is empowered by the seven-headed dragon (Rev. 12:3+) which we saw was Satan exercising authority over all the kingdoms of history (Luke 4:5-6). Since Satan's dominion began when man forfeited his at the rebellion in the Garden of Eden, we should expect that the seven heads denote a group of kingdoms spanning a sufficient period of history to reach the earliest kingdom. As we saw in our discussion of [Babylon of Old](#), the first king was Nimrod who presided over the kingdom of Babel, the precursor to Babylon. See [#4 - Seven Heads/Kings](#). These facts argue against any primary identification of the Harlot as a system, city, or government which is a relative newcomer *from a biblical perspective* upon the stage of world history. Roman Catholicism is one such candidate. To be sure, the Harlot can be identified in a *secondary* way with such systems because she is their *mother* and they are her *daughters*. As in any family relationship, we expect great similarity between a mother and her daughters. Therefore, in any exploration of the identity of the Harlot, it is insufficient to make the case on similarity alone. All this proves is a mother-daughter relationship. To be the true *mother* requires a historic pedigree that many suggested candidates lack.

Was there no idolatry before Pagan Rome? Whence then came the worship of "Moloch" and "Remphan," and "Chiun," in the wilderness (Acts 7:43; Amos 5:25-26); and the worship of Ashtoreth, the abomination (*i.e., idol*) of the Zidonians, and Chemosh, the abomination of the Moabites, and Milcom, the abomination of the children of Ammon, which were introduced by Solomon (1K. 11:5; 2K. 13:11). Was Rome the mother of these?⁶⁵

Now we turn to the matter of her harlotry. *Harlot* (Rev. 17:16+) is [πόρνῆς](#) [pornēs], denoting a prostitute⁶⁶ and used of Rahab (Jos. 2:1; 6:17, 23, 25—[LXX](#); Heb. 11:31; Jas. 2:25). Harlotry often describes spiritual idolatry—forsaking the One True God:

Take heed to yourself, lest you make a covenant with the inhabitants of the land where you are going, lest it be a snare in your midst. But you shall destroy their altars, break their *sacred* pillars, and cut down their *wooden* images 'for you shall worship no other god, for the LORD, whose name *is* Jealous, *is* a jealous God), lest you make a covenant with the inhabitants of the land, and they play the **harlot** with their gods and make sacrifice to their gods, and *one of them* invites you and you eat of his sacrifice, and you take of his daughters for your sons, and his daughters play the **harlot** with their gods and make your sons play the **harlot** with their gods. You shall make no molded gods for yourselves. (Ex. 34:12-17) [emphasis added]

And the LORD said to Moses: "Behold, you will rest with your fathers; and **this people will rise and play the harlot with the gods of the foreigners of the land**, where they go to *be* among them, and they will forsake Me and break My covenant which I have made with them." (Deu. 31:16) [emphasis added]

And if the people of the land should in any way hide their eyes from the man, when he gives some of his descendants to Molech, and they do not kill him, then I will set My face against that man and against his family; and I will cut him off from his people, and all who prostitute themselves with him to commit **harlotry** with Molech. (Lev. 20:4-5) [emphasis added]

But come here, you sons of the sorceress, **You offspring of the adulterer and the harlot!** Whom do you ridicule? Against whom do you make a wide mouth *And* stick out the tongue? *Are* you not children of transgression, offspring of falsehood, **Inflaming yourselves with gods under every green tree**, slaying the children in the valleys, under the clefts of the rocks? (Isa. 57:3-5) [emphasis added]

"But you trusted in your own beauty, played the **harlot** because of your fame, and poured out your **harlotry** on everyone passing by who *would have* it. You took some of your garments and adorned multicolored high places for yourself, and played the **harlot** on them. *Such* things should not happen, nor be. You have also taken your beautiful jewelry from My gold and My silver, which I had given you, and made for yourself male images and played the **harlot** with them. You took your embroidered garments and covered them, and you set My oil and My incense before them. Also My food which I gave you—the pastry of fine flour, oil, and honey *which* I fed you—you set it before them as sweet incense; and so it was," says the Lord GOD. "Moreover you took your sons and your daughters, whom you bore to Me, and these you sacrificed to them to be devoured. *Were* your **acts of harlotry** a small matter, that

you have slain My children and offered them up to them by causing them to pass through *the fire*? And in all your abominations and acts of **harlotry** you did not remember the days of your youth, when you were naked and bare, struggling in your blood. Then it was so, after all your wickedness- 'Woe, woe to you!' says the Lord GOD- *that* you also built for yourself a shrine, and made a high place for yourself in every street. You built your high places at the head of every road, and made your beauty to be abhorred. You offered yourself to everyone who passed by, and multiplied your acts of **harlotry**. You also committed **harlotry** with the Egyptians, your very fleshly neighbors, and increased your acts of **harlotry** to provoke Me to anger." (Eze. 16:15-26) [emphasis added]

As the mother of Harlots, she is the originator of an idolatrous influence which was passed on to her daughters. Her priorities and intent are such that she distracts those she influences away from a right recognition of God and suggests that they turn their attention to other things, *any other thing* than the one true God.⁶⁷

The figure of harlotry, expressing forgetfulness of God in selfish preoccupation with worldly gain, appropriately describes covetousness, which was the besetting sin of Tyre, and is closely allied with idolatry and licentiousness (Eph. 5:5; Col. 3:5). . . . [Such] operate upon the principle of "do anything for worldly gain," which is what, in a spiritual sense, harlotry is [Isa. 23:16-18].⁶⁸

She is said to sit on many waters (Rev. 17:1⁺). The many waters are said to be "peoples, multitudes, nations, and tongues." The woman is also said to be a great city (Rev. 17:18⁺). These two characteristics of her description are in tension. How can she be sitting on (supported by or influencing and controlling) a global community—including diverse nations spanning separate geographic regions—and at the same time be a great city? The answer would seem to be found in recognizing her primary identity as a single city, yet one that historically has influenced the global community, much like Nineveh: "Because of the multitude of harlotries of the seductive harlot, The mistress of sorceries, Who sells nations through her harlotries, And families through her sorceries" (Nah. 3:4). Her global influence is also seen in her global guilt, for "in her was found the blood of prophets and saints, and of all who were slain on the earth" (Rev. 18:24⁺ cf. Rev 17:6⁺). As the celebrated worldly Harlot, she is to be contrasted with the persecuted virgin of Revelation 12⁺ who brought forth the male child (see [A Virgin and a Harlot](#)) and the Lamb's Wife ([Babylon and the New Jerusalem](#)).

EDITORIAL ADDITION - Harlot (4204) porne from **perano** - to sell. Porno-, as prefix in pornographic) is a woman who practices sexual immorality as a profession. **BDAG** adds it can be used figuratively of " a political entity hostile to God, prostitute, whore, fig. ext. of 1 (Isa 1:21; Isa 23:15f; Jer 3:3; Ezk 16:30-31, 35) as the designation of a government that is hostile to God and God's people Rv 17:15-16." **Babylon** is called *pórnē*, the great harlot, being the chief seat of idolatry since *porneía* is symbolic of idolatry (Rev. 17:1, 5, 15, 16; 19:2). [What is the whore of Babylon / mystery Babylon? | GotQuestions.org](#)

Porne - 17v - Matt. 21:31; Matt. 21:32; Lk. 15:30; 1 Co. 6:15; 1 Co. 6:16; Heb. 11:31; Jas. 2:25; Rev. 17:1; Rev. 17:5; Rev. 17:15; Rev. 17:16; Rev. 19:2

Porne in Septuagint - Gen. 34:31; Gen. 38:15; Gen. 38:21; Gen. 38:22; Lev. 21:7; Lev. 21:14; Deut. 23:2; Deut. 23:17; Deut. 23:18; Jos. 2:1; Jos. 6:17; Jos. 6:23; Jos. 6:25; Jdg. 11:1; Jdg. 16:1; 1 Ki. 3:16; 1 Ki. 12:24; 1 Ki. 21:19; 1 Ki. 22:38; Prov. 5:3; Prov. 6:26; Prov. 29:3; Isa. 1:21; Isa. 23:15; Isa. 23:16; Isa. 57:3; Jer. 3:3; Jer. 5:7; Ezek. 16:30; Ezek. 16:31; Ezek. 16:35; Ezek. 23:43; Ezek. 23:44; Hos. 4:14; Joel 3:3; Nah. 3:4;

Mystery Babylon?

The primary issue among interpreters in regard to the [Harlot](#) is whether she is to be understood as being a *separate but related entity* to Babylon, the city. Many interpreters take her to be a separate ecclesiastic system at the time of the end which undergoes a separate judgment and destruction than Babylon, the city. This view is based on a number of points:

1. "Mystery" is written on the woman's forehead (Rev. 17:5⁺). Some take this as part of her title, denoting her mysterious nature and identity.
2. Religious aspects of the harlot are thought to be more strongly emphasized in Revelation 17⁺, whereas Revelation 18⁺ emphasizes commercial aspects.
3. If modern ecumenical liberalism continues, then it seems likely that disparate religious systems will eventually amalgamate into a one-world global religion at the time of the end.

We discuss the merits of each of these points below. One other matter which we should mention regarding the identification of the Harlot: the tendency of unevenly emphasizing interpretive clues provided by the text. Scripture gives us some clear and definite signposts to help guide us in our task of interpretation. When we fail to heed those signposts, but drive right by them, we miss the main fork in the road leading in the proper direction and drive down the wrong road which takes us miles from the proper destination. It does not matter how many small back-alleys we investigate in the local neighborhood if we are already in the wrong city! Ignoring

very clear and definite statements, interpreters often spend great effort analyzing other less-clear passages in attempting to identify her. In the case of the Harlot, several definitive statements are given to us about her identity. She is explicitly said to be a **city**: “And the woman whom you saw is that great city which reigns over the kings of the earth” (Rev. 17:18[±]). The phrase, **the great** (Rev. 17:3[±]), is reminiscent of **the great city**, Babylon (Rev. 16:19[±]; Rev 17:4[±]; Rev 18:2, 21[±]; Rev 19:2[±]), although the phrase is also used of Jerusalem (Rev. 11:8[±]; Rev 16:19[±]) and the New Jerusalem (Rev. 21:10KJV[±] - “great city” is only in Textus Receptus, not in Nestle-Aland where it reads “holy city”). We are not left to wonder which city is meant, whether Jerusalem, the New Jerusalem, Babylon (or even Rome, New York, or Tokyo!). It is **Babylon** which is explicitly identified with “**the great harlot**” (Rev. 19:2[±] cf. Rev. 18:21[±]). Whatever else the **Harlot** denotes, we must not lose sight of these simple, clear sign posts: she is **acity** and that city is **Babylon**.

Mystery as a Title?

The question as to whether the word *mystery* is to be understood as describing what is related concerning the woman or whether it forms part of her title cannot be dogmatically settled by the underlying Greek. However, evidence is in favor of excluding *mystery* from her title: Although translators disagree, it seems best to understand the word *mystery* as describing what is related *about* the woman and not being part of her title:

The first question is whether *mysterion* should be interpreted as being in apposition with *onoma*? If not, John would be saying that the name on the woman’s forehead is “Mystery Babylon the Great.” If so, John would be saying that the name “Babylon the Great” written upon the woman’s forehead is a mystery. . . . The repetition of the woman’s title as “Babylon the Great” (Rev. 14:8[±]; Rev 16:19[±]; Rev 18:2[±]) rather than “Mystery Babylon the Great” favors the appositional relationship.⁶⁹

By printing (on its own authority) the word “mystery” in large capital letters, the AV. has made it appear as part of the name. The Revisers have followed this example, printing the name in small capitals instead of large. But they have, in the margin, said “or, a mystery, *BABYLON THE GREAT*,” as though the word “mystery” did not form part of the title. We believe this to be the case.⁷⁰

We also observe that in the immediate context, the angel offers to tell John “the mystery of the woman and of the beast which carries her” (Rev. 17:7[±]). This provides further evidence against taking *mystery* as her title for the mystery pertains to understanding the vision, not her character. Moreover, the mystery extends beyond the woman herself to include the seven-headed beast with ten horns. In fact, an examination of the remainder of the chapter will show that the angel spends more time discussing the mystery of the heads and horns (Rev. 17:8-14[±]) than the woman (Rev. 17:15-18[±]). Although the **Beast** predominates in the explanation of the mystery, he has no such title. Thus, we disagree with the terminology “Mystery Babylon,” which is often used to define a *second Babylon* of sorts which bears little, if any, relationship to the city. This we believe is a misreading of the text and an unfortunate side-effect of how several translations have chosen to render Revelation 17:5[±].

One or Two Babylons?

Many conclude that the **Harlot** (Rev. 17[±]) represents a religious system, whereas the city (Rev. 18[±]) represents a commercial system. This view holds that what is said concerning the Harlot, and the very fact that she is said to be a *Harlot*, necessitates a purely religious role for her. Conversely, the commercial emphasis of Revelation 18[±] speaks more of a commercial system and a city. An unfortunate contributor to this separation into two parts is the chapter division between Revelation 17[±] and Rev 18[±] which masks the unity of the entire passage. Since chapter divisions are not part of the **inspired** text, we need to be cognizant of their placement and the implicit effects they have on our interpretation. In the case of Revelation 17[±] and Rev 18[±], it is true that Revelation 17[±] speaks more of the spiritual aspects of the Harlot. Similarly, Revelation 18[±] emphasizes commercial aspects. But this is not the full story because both chapters emphasize both aspects and overlap to a much greater degree than many interpreters are willing to admit.⁷¹

The Harlot versus The City

Attribute	The Harlot (Revelation 17 [±])	The City (Revelation 18 [±] , 19 [±])
Named “Babylon the Great.”	Rev. 17:5 [±]	Rev. 18:2 [±]
Called “The Great Harlot.”	Rev. 17:1 [±]	Rev. 19:2 [±]
Holding a cup.	Rev. 17:4 [±]	Rev. 18:6 [±]
Fornicating with kings.	Rev. 17:2 [±]	Rev. 18:3 [±]

Drunk with wine of immorality.	Rev. 17:2 ⁺	Rev. 18:3 ⁺
Persecuting believers.	Rev. 17:6 ⁺	Rev. 18:20, 24 ⁺ ; Rev 19:2 ⁺
Destroyed by fire.	Rev. 17:16 ⁺	Rev. 18:8 ⁺ , 18 ⁺
Destroyed by God.	Rev. 17:17 ⁺	Rev. 18:5 ⁺ , 8 ⁺
Clothed with purple, scarlet, gold, precious stones, pearls.	Rev. 17:4 ⁺	Rev. 18:16 ⁺
Wealthy.	Rev. 17:4 ⁺	Rev. 18:3, 7, 12-14 ⁺
Sitting.	Rev. 17:1, 7, 15 ⁺	Rev. 18:7 ⁺
Global influence.	Rev. 17:1-2, 15, 18 ⁺	Rev. 18:3, 9, 11, Rev 19:2 ⁺
Spiritual wickedness.	Rev. 17:5 ⁺	Rev. 18:23 ⁺

Revelation 17⁺, while speaking of her harlotry, abominations, and being drunk with the blood of the martyrs of Jesus, also relates her great commercial wealth: “arrayed in purple and scarlet, and adorned with gold and precious stones and pearls, having in her hand a golden cup” (Rev. 17:4⁺). Moreover, Revelation 18⁺ speaks of her spiritual aspects: “For all nations have drunk of the wine of the wrath of her fornication, the kings of the earth have committed fornication with her” (Rev. 18:3a⁺) and “for by your sorcery all the nations were deceived. And in her was found the blood of prophets and saints, and of all who wereslain on the earth” (Rev. 18:24⁺). When the *city* of Babylon is finally overthrown, as predicted by the angel (Rev. 18:21⁺), it is said, “He has judged **the great harlot** who corrupted the earth with her fornication; and He has avenged on her the blood of Hisservants *shed* by her” [emphasis added] (Rev. 19:2⁺).

The question is, how different from the Babylon of chapter 17 is the Babylon of chapter 18? Undoubtedly the city is the same in both instances. Both have the name “Babylon the great” (Rev. 17:5⁺; Rev 18:2⁺). Both are guilty of fornication (Rev. 17:1, 2, 4, 5, 16⁺; Rev 18:3⁺) and of causing the kings of the earth and the earth-dwellers to imbibe of the wine (of the anger) of the city’s fornication (Rev. 17:2⁺; Rev 18:3⁺). The destiny of both is to beburned with fire (Rev. 17:16⁺; Rev 18:8, 9, 18⁺) and to become an utter desolation (Rev. 17:16⁺; Rev 18:17, 19⁺). In both chapters Babylon is “the great city” (Rev. 17:18⁺; Rev 18:10, 16, 18⁺. Rev 19, Rev 21) and wears the apparel and adornment of a harlot (Rev. 17:4⁺; Rev 18:16⁺). Both are responsible for the martyrdom of the faithful (Rev. 17:6⁺; Rev 18:20, 24⁺ [cf. Rev 19:2⁺]).⁷²

We also note that in the prediction of Babylon’s demise by the flying angel there is not the slightest intimation of two systems or two destructions. Moreover, in the angel’s description of Babylon, he calls it a “great city” (commercial) which is guilty of “fornication” (spiritual idolatry) (Rev. 14:8⁺). There the self-same Babylon is described using both commercial and spiritual attributes. This unity is also evidenced under the seventh bowl where “Babylon was remembered before God, to give her the cup of the wine of the fierceness of His wrath” (Rev. 16:19⁺). Only one Babylon is in view and its destruction is associated with a single judgment—the pouring forth of the last bowl of the wrath of God. We see no evidence of a separate judgment for the Harlot and a subsequent judgment of the city—as if they were two different entities. Another factor favoring the unity of Revelation 17⁺ and Rev 18⁺ is the announced mission of the angel sent to John: “I will show you the judgment of the great harlot whosits on many waters” (Rev. 17:1⁺). This angel is with John from Revelation 17:1⁺ throughout both chapters and speaks to him again in Revelation 19:19⁺.⁷³ The act of showing John *the judgment* (singular) of *the great harlot* (singular) spans Revelation 17:1⁺ through Revelation 19:4⁺. The same event is in view the entire time. The angel gives not the slightest indication that John is being shown two entities and two destructions.

If we look at these two chapters carefully [Rev. 17⁺ and Rev 18⁺], we fail to find the distinction so persistently affirmed. Someone states a thing as a fact; and then others think they see it. There is no such thing as “Mystic Babylon.” The Babylon mentioned in Rev 17⁺ is the same as that in Rev 18⁺. It is the “Woman” which is a secret symbol or sign. But that means only that we are not to take it literally as a woman, but as “that great city,” as is explained in [Rev. 17:18⁺].⁷⁴

The idea that the Harlot is something other than the city of Babylon is difficult to maintain if Scripture alone is our guide. The Harlot is called a city (Rev. 17:18⁺) while the city is described as a harlot (Rev. 18:3, 9, 23-24⁺) and called “the great harlot” (Rev. 19:2⁺). The Harlot is that great city Babylon!

Although the [Harlot](#) includes both commercial and religious aspects, many have minimized her commercial aspects and placed greater emphasis on her religious aspects. The Harlot is then seen to be the end-time religious system which precedes the rise of the [Beast](#) as sole object of worship.

What is found in these verses is the final form of religious apostasy, ending in a one-world super-church. It is the final form of the woman Jezebel cast into the Great Tribulation (Rev. 2:20-22+) and united with the Laodicean Church. This is the counterfeit bride of messiah, presented as a prostitute, in contrast with the true Bride of Messiah, presented as a pure virgin (2Cor. 11:2; Eph. 5:25-27; Rev. 19:6-8+).⁷⁵

During the Tribulation, all the world's diverse false religions will be reunited into one great world religion. That ultimate expression of false religion will be an essential element of Antichrist's final world empire, in holding together his military, economic, and political structure. Only religion can unite the world in the most compelling way.⁷⁶

The current trend of ecumenical liberalism, which places unity and relationship above doctrinal distinctions, is seen as evolving into a one-world super church. This global system of unified worship is suggested as the source from which the False Prophet arises (Rev. 13:11+).

The modern ecumenical movement, active first among apostate Protestant churches in the first half of the twentieth century, then essentially combining (or at least fellowshiping) with the Catholic and Orthodox churches in the second half of the twentieth century, will eventually amalgamate with all other world religions, especially after the departure of all true churches to be with Christ. The second beast, or false prophet, will most likely emerge as the patriarch (or pope, or ayatollah, or guru or, more likely, simply "prophet") of this universal religion.⁷⁷

At a critical juncture, probably the revival of the Beast from the dead, the one-world "worship-what-you-will" system of religion is thought to be put down in order to direct all worship to the Beast (Rev. 13:15+). The Beast, elevating himself over all that is called god (2Th. 2:4) and empowered by the worship-hungry dragon, will not allow competition so the worldwide ecumenical movement which rode him as the Harlot will then be viciously turned upon and destroyed (Rev. 17:16-18+).

Having used the false religious system to help him gain control of the world, Antichrist will discard it. In his rampant megalomania, he will want the world to worship only him. He will also no doubt covet the vast wealth of the false religious system. Thus, he will turn on the harlot.⁷⁸

Thus, the religious state of the end-time is thought to be characterized by two phases. During the first phase, ecumenical globalism is pervasive. During the second phase, only the [Antichrist](#) is worshiped.

Just as there will be two political systems during the Tribulation, one during the first half (the ten kings) and one during the second half (the Antichrist), there will also be two religious systems, one for each half of the Tribulation. This passage describes the religious system of the first half of the Tribulation.⁷⁹

As plausible sounding as such a scenario might be, the Scriptures themselves provide precious little detail to support such ideas. If Scripture speaks for itself, the Harlot is seen to be one-and-the-same as the city Babylon. Just as Tyre and Jerusalem are described as harlots, so too is Babylon. If the Harlot is a city (Rev. 17:18+) and the city is a harlot (Rev. 19:2+) and their characteristics overlap as we've shown above, then there is little room for making the Harlot a separate ecclesiastical system.

It is indeed surprising how any mistake could have been made in the identification of this woman. For the Holy Spirit first shows us her very name upon her forehead. Then in [Rev. 17:18+], He tells us as plainly as words can tell anything that "the woman which thou sawest is that great city, which reigneth over the kings of the earth"; and [Rev. 16:19+], as well as [Rev. 17:5+] identifies this city with Babylon. God says it is a "city." He does not say a *system* or a *religion*, but a "CITY."⁸⁰

The woman is identified as the great city (Rev. 17:18+) whose fall is described in chapter 18+. From internal evidence, the identity of Babylon the woman (ch. 17+) with Babylon the great city (ch. 18+) is so unmistakable that it would be inappropriate to make them different entities.⁸¹

We believe a better solution is to recognize the essential unity concerning all that is related about Babylon. The Harlot and the city are *one*. But the city has *two* aspects: both a religious aspect and a commercial aspect. Both of these date back to the time of Nimrod and the tower of Babel (Gen. 11:4). These streams of influence have spanned both history and geography: she "sits on many waters" which are "peoples, multitudes, nations, and tongues" (Rev. 17:1, 15+). Therefore, what Scripture relates concerning her harlotry we should expect, and indeed do see, in any number of the centers of civilization of our age. In that sense, there is some truth and overlap between the views that [Babylon is the World?](#) and [Babylon is Babylon!](#) It is certainly possible that an ecumenical one-world religion will unite the people of the world prior to the rise of Antichrist. Such a movement would be a valuable tool for the

forces of globalism which will prevail prior to the [ten-horn kingdom](#) out of which the [little horn](#) eventually arises. Although Scripture does not preclude such a development, *neither does it predict it*. For it is equally possible that a single repressive faith, such as Islam, could gain ascendancy and bring a forced unity by the sword—Scripture simply does not say.⁸² What is most important to recognize is the dual aspect of Babylon of the end, that it will unite both commercial and religious aspects into a powerful force which has always proven too great a temptation in the hands of fallen men:

Various astute rulers in the long history of human government, rightly estimating the tremendous power of religion over the minds of men, have been greatly intrigued with the idea of some kind of union between church and state, in which the government would establish and support some widely accepted religion and this religion in turn would lend its influence to the state. All such alliances thus humanly originated have been based on selfish motives and opportunist policies on both sides, and hence must always break down in the end. Since each side pays a price for the unnatural union, and the price is ever increasing, the break becomes inevitable (cf. Rev. 17:1-18+). A union between church and state is safe only when inaugurated and controlled by the one true God in a kingdom of His own (Zec. 14:9, 14:16-21).⁸³

This is why Scripture relates that only when Messiah comes will the function of both *priest* and *king* be safely united in a single person as predicted by Zechariah:

Then take silver and gold, and **make crowns**, and set *them* upon the head of Joshua the son of Josedech, the high priest; and speak unto him, saying, thus speaketh the LORD of hosts, saying, behold the man whose name *is* The BRANCH; and he shall grow up out of his place, and he shall build the temple of the LORD: Even he shall build the temple of the LORD; and he shall bear the glory, and **shall sit and rule upon his throne**; and he **shall be a priest upon his throne**: and **the counsel of peace shall be between them both** [the two crowns or roles]. (Zec. 6:11-13, KJV) [emphasis added]

The American policy of complete separation of church and state, which most sensible men fully approve under present conditions, is not however the ideal policy. It is rather a policy of precaution in a sinful world, where political and ecclesiastical power too often get into the wrong hands, and the result is intolerable oppression. But under the personal rule of the Messianic King the union of church and state will not only be safe; it will also be the highest possible good.⁸⁴

NOTES

¹Image courtesy of the *Perry-Gastañeda Library Map Collection*, University of Texas at Austin. www.lib.utexas.edu/maps

²Translations differ as to whether Nimrod established Nineveh: “From that land Asshur went forth and built Nineveh. . . .” [*Tanakh: The Holy Scriptures: A New Translation of the Holy Scriptures According to the Traditional Hebrew Text* (Philadelphia, PA: Jewish Publication Society, 1997, c1985), Gen. 10:11-12], [Scherman, ed., *Tanach* (New York, NY: Mesorah Publications, Ltd., 2001), Gen. 10:11]. If “the land of Nimrod” means “Assyria” in Micah 5:5-6, then that would lend support for the view that Nimrod established Nineveh.

³John MacArthur, *Revelation 12-22 : The MacArthur New Testament Commentary* (Chicago, IL: Moody Press, 2000), Rev. 14:8.

⁴Flavius Josephus, *The Complete Works of Josephus* (Grand Rapids, MI: Kregel Publications, 1981), s.v. “Antiquities I, iv 1.”

⁵Scherman, *Tanach*, Gen. 11:1-9n.

⁶Donald Grey Barnhouse, *Revelation* (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Publishing House, 1971), 294.

⁷Merrill F. Unger, *Unger's Commentary on the Old Testament* (Chattanooga, TN: AMG Publishers, 2002), Isa. 14:13.

⁸Ibid., Jer. 50:23.

⁹D. J. Wiseman, “Babylon.” in Geoffrey W. Bromiley, ed., *The International Standard Bible Encyclopedia, Revised* (Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., 1979, 1915), 1:389.

¹⁰Michael Levy, ed., *Britannica 2012 Deluxe Edition CDROM*, s.v. “Babylon.”

¹¹Merrill Frederick Unger, R. K. Harrison, Frederic F Vos, and Cyril J. Barber, *The New Unger's Bible Dictionary* (Chicago, IL: Moody Press, 1988), s.v. “Babylon.”

¹²Wiseman, *Babylon*, 1:390.

¹³“Dated cuneiform texts up to A.D. 110 show that the site was still occupied.”—Ibid.

¹⁴Moshe Beere, "[Judaism \(Babylonian Judaism\).](#)" in David Noel Freeman, ed., [The Anchor Bible Dictionary](#) (New York, NY: Doubleday, 1996, c1992), 3:1080.

¹⁵[Ibid.](#), 3:1082.

¹⁶"According to Septimius Severus the site was deserted by A.D. 200."—Wiseman, [Babylon](#), 1:390.

¹⁷[Ibid.](#)

¹⁸Image courtesy of the [Perry-Castañeda Library Map Collection](#), University of Texas at Austin. www.lib.utexas.edu/maps

¹⁹How strange then to find Barnhouse commenting: "What we have seen of the state of the ruins of literal Babylon satisfies us that the prophecies of Isaiah and Jeremiah have been fulfilled."—Barnhouse, [Revelation](#), Rev. 18:1-3. Barnhouse essentially suggests that God's language of prophecy is "sloppy."

²⁰Some hold that it is neither possible nor important to identify Babylon: "There is no way to be really sure of the identity of the city, nor is its identity important."—Alan F. Johnson, [Revelation: The Expositor's Bible Commentary](#) (Grand Rapids, MI: Zondervan Publishing House, 1966), Rev. 14:20. We would ask why God gave such a large body of information concerning her, if we were not to be concerned about her identity?

²¹Andy Woods, [What is the Identity of Babylon In Revelation 17-18?](#)

²²Barnhouse, [Revelation](#), 265.

²³Walter Scott, [Exposition of The Revelation](#) (London, England: Pickering & Inglis, n.d.), Rev. 14:8.

²⁴Unger, [Unger's Commentary on the Old Testament](#), Isa. 13:6.

²⁵Johnson, [Revelation: The Expositor's Bible Commentary](#), 158.

²⁶Barnhouse, normally a very reliable commentator, is interesting in this regard. After a lengthy and informative exposition of most of Revelation 17⁺ wherein he holds the Harlot to be an ecclesiastical system, he concludes some *nineteen pages* of discussion with an exposition of the *17th verse*. There the commentary ends and runs off into a blank page! Not only doesn't he comment on the last verse, verse 18, *he doesn't even mention it!* MacArthur is similarly silent at the end of his commentary on Revelation 17⁺, commenting on verse 17⁺ but not 18. Why? We can only guess because this verse stands as a contradiction to their schemes of interpretation which take the Harlot to be an ecclesiastical system. For verse 18⁺ tells us that the woman is "that great city."

²⁷Barnhouse, [Revelation](#), 335.

²⁸M. R. Vincent, [Vincent's Word Studies](#) (Escondido, CA: Ephesians Four Group, 2002), Rev. 17:5.

²⁹A. W. Fortune, "[Babylon in the NT.](#)" in Geoffrey W. Bromiley, ed., [The International Standard Bible Encyclopedia, Revised](#) (Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., 1979, 1915), 1:391.

³⁰"David S. Clark . . . takes the view of many others (Moses Stuart, Jay Adams, etc.) that the increased attention to Babylon in the second half of Revelation should be taken as a mystic reference to Rome, the persecuting city after the fall of Jerusalem: 'Rome was called Babylon because [she was] sort of a duplicate of old Babylon, in that she was a persecutor of God's people, she was intensely idolatrous, and she was doomed to overthrow for her sins.'"—Steve Gregg, [Revelation Four Views: A Parallel Commentary](#) (Nashville, TN: Thomas Nelson, 1997), Rev. 14:8.

³¹Fortune, [Babylon in the NT](#), 1:391.

³²A. R. Fausset, "[The Revelation of St. John the Divine.](#)" in Robert Jamieson, A. R. Fausset, and David Brown, [A Commentary, Critical and Explanatory, on the Old and New Testaments](#) (Oak Harbor, WA: Logos Research Systems, Inc., 1997, 1877), Rev. 17:5.

³³Robert H. Mounce, [The Book of Revelation](#) (Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., 1977), Rev. 14:8.

³⁴Robert L. Thomas, [Revelation 8-22](#) (Chicago, IL: Moody Press, 1995), Rev. 14:8.

³⁵We believe this view is bolstered by the many aspects of his epistle which indicate he is ministering primarily to Jewish Christians of the Diaspora (1Pe. 1:1). Although Fortune favors the Roman identification, he offers two alternatives to understanding Peter's use of *Babylon* as denoting Rome: "(1) That the Egyptian Babylon, or Old Cairo, is meant. Strabo (xvii.1.30), who wrote as late as A.D.

18, says the Egyptian Babylon was a strong fortress, founded by certain refugees from the Mesopotamian Babylon. But during the 1st cent this was little more than a military station, and it is quite improbable that Peter would have gone there. There is no tradition that connects Peter in any way with Egypt. (2) That the statement is to be taken literally and Babylon in Mesopotamia is meant. Many good scholars hold to this view, among them Weiss and Thayer; but there is no evidence that Peter was ever in Babylon, or that there was even a church there during the 1st century. Mark and Silvanus are associated with Peter in the letter and there is no tradition that connects either of them with Babylon. According to Josephus (Ant. xviii.9.5-9), the Jews at this time had largely been driven out of Babylon and were confined to neighboring towns, and it seems improbable that Peter would have made that his missionary field.”—Fortune, [Babylon in the NT](#), 1:391.

³⁶Woods, [What is the Identity of Babylon In Revelation 17-18?](#)

³⁷Arthur Walkington Pink, [The Antichrist](#) (Oak Harbor, WA: Logos Research Systems, 1999, 1923), s.v. “Antichrist and Babylon.”

³⁸Woods, [What is the Identity of Babylon In Revelation 17-18?](#)

³⁹“J. Stuart Russell and others (Terry, Chilton, etc.) believe Babylon to be a symbolic designation for Jerusalem.”—Gregg, [Revelation Four Views: A Parallel Commentary](#), Rev. 14:8.

⁴⁰David Chilton, [The Days of Vengeance](#) (Tyler, TX: Dominion Press, 1987), Rev. 14:8.

⁴¹Ibid.

⁴²We are not talking here about progressive revelation which is a matter altogether different. Progressive revelation adds information and understanding to broaden an original prediction or promise. It does not deny the original content or understanding, nor does it reverse or drastically change its meaning to something that denies the basic understanding of the original recipients.

⁴³Woods, [What is the Identity of Babylon In Revelation 17-18?](#)

⁴⁴Gregory K. Beale, [The Book of Revelation: A Commentary on the Greek Text](#) (Grand Rapids, MI: William B. Eerdmans Publishing Co., 1999), 25.

⁴⁵Thomas, [Revelation 8-22](#), Rev. 14:8.

⁴⁶Henry Morris, [The Revelation Record](#) (Wheaton, IL: Tyndale House Publishers, 1983), 323.

⁴⁷All but one reference to Babylon in the NT outside the book of Revelation are obviously literal: Mat. 1:11-12, 17; Acts 7:43; 1Pe 5:13. The reference at 1Pe. 5:13 is disputed, but there is no real reason for taking Peter’s use as non-literal since there was a significant contingent of Jews who remained in Babylon at the time of the NT.

⁴⁸We reject the idea of a *complementary hermeneutic* as embraced by proponents of progressive dispensationalism which attempts to provide a mechanism by which the original meaning can be modified and even changed.

⁴⁹E. W. Bullinger, [Commentary On Revelation](#) (Grand Rapids, MI: Kregel Publications, 1984, 1935), Rev. 18:4.

⁵⁰Robert L. Thomas, [Revelation 1-7](#) (Chicago, IL: Moody Press, 1992), Rev. 14:8.

⁵¹Woods, [What is the Identity of Babylon In Revelation 17-18?](#)

⁵²David Baron, [Zechariah: A Commentary On His Visions And Prophecies](#) (Grand Rapids, MI: Kregel Publications, 1918), 167-168.

⁵³Woods, [What is the Identity of Babylon In Revelation 17-18?](#)

⁵⁴Pink, [The Antichrist](#), s.v. “Antichrist in Babylon.”

⁵⁵Ibid., s.v. “Antichrist.”

⁵⁶Woods, [What is the Identity of Babylon In Revelation 17-18?](#)

⁵⁷Thomas Ice, “[Babylon in Bible Prophecy](#),” in [Pre-Trib Perspectives](#), vol. 7 no. 11 (Dallas, TX: Pre-Trib Research Center, March 2003), 5.

⁵⁸Having wings of a *stork*, an unclean bird.

⁵⁹Pink, [The Antichrist](#), s.v. “Antichrist and Babylon (Rev. 18).”

⁶⁰Baron, [Zechariah: A Commentary On His Visions And Prophecies](#), 164.

⁶¹“The Beast is a man (Rev. 13:18⁺); therefore his throne is in a definite place: rebuilt Babylon on the Euphrates, we believe, —Satan’s ancient capital, in the ‘land of Shinar,’ where ‘wickedness’ is to be set on its base in the end-time (Zec. 5:5-10).”—William R. Newell, [Revelation: Chapter by Chapter](#) (Grand Rapids, MI: Kregel Publications, 1994,c1935), Rev. 16:10.

⁶²William Smith, [Smith’s Bible Dictionary](#) (Nashville, TN: Thomas Nelson, 1997), s.v. “Shinar.”

⁶³Baron, [Zechariah: A Commentary On His Visions And Prophecies](#), 170.

⁶⁴Mark Hitchcock, [The Second Coming of Babylon](#). (Sisters, OR: Multnomah Publishers, 2003), p. 109, cited by [Woods, [What is the Identity of Babylon In Revelation 17-18?](#)].

⁶⁵Bullinger, [Commentary On Revelation](#), Rev. 17:5.

⁶⁶Frederick William Danker and Walter Bauer, [A Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament and Other Early Christian Literature](#) (Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press, 2000), 693.

⁶⁷Concerning spiritual harlotry: Ex. 34:15; Lev. 17:16; Deu. 31:16; Jdg. 2:18; 1Chr. 5:25; 2Chr. 21:13; Ps. 106:39; Isa. 57:3-8; Jer. 2:20; 3:1-13; 13:27; Eze. 6:9; 16:15-41; 23:5, 19, 30, 44; Hos. 2:5; 3:3; 4:12, 14; 9:1; Mic. 1:7; Nah. 3:4; Mat. 12:39; Rev. 17:1⁺, 15⁺; Rev. 19:2⁺.

⁶⁸Unger, [Unger’s Commentary on the Old Testament](#), Isa. 23:16.

⁶⁹Woods, [What is the Identity of Babylon In Revelation 17-18?](#)

⁷⁰Bullinger, [Commentary On Revelation](#), Rev. 17:5.

⁷¹“A strong exegetical case can be made to support the proposition that Revelation 17⁺ and 18⁺ should be viewed as a unit speaking of one Babylon rather than two separate units speaking of two Babylons. The notion of viewing Revelation 17⁺ and 18⁺ as a unit is buttressed by noting the similarities between the chapters. Both chapters refer to Babylon as having the same name (Rev. 17:5⁺; 18:2⁺), holding a cup (Rev. 17:4⁺; 18:6⁺), fornicating with kings (Rev. 17:2⁺; 18:3⁺), being drunk with the wine of immorality (Rev. 17:2⁺; 18:3⁺), persecuting believers (Rev. 17:6⁺; 18:24⁺), experiencing destruction by fire (Rev. 17:16⁺; 18:8⁺), and experiencing destruction by God (Rev. 17:17⁺; 18:5⁺, 8⁺).”—Woods, [What is the Identity of Babylon In Revelation 17-18?](#)

⁷²Thomas, [Revelation 8-22](#), Rev. 18:1.

⁷³“The speaker [at Rev. 19:9⁺] is one of the angels of the seven last plagues who initiated his role as John’s guide in Rev. 17:1⁺.”—Ibid., Rev. 19:9.

⁷⁴Bullinger, [Commentary On Revelation](#), Rev. 18:2.

⁷⁵Arnold G. Fruchtenbaum, [The Footsteps of Messiah](#), rev ed (Tustin, CA: Ariel Ministries, 2003), 237.

⁷⁶John MacArthur, [Revelation 1-11 : The MacArthur New Testament Commentary](#) (Chicago, IL: Moody Press, 1999), Rev. 17:1.

⁷⁷Morris, [The Revelation Record](#), Rev. 13:11.

⁷⁸MacArthur, [Revelation 12-22 : The MacArthur New Testament Commentary](#), Rev. 17:16.

⁷⁹Fruchtenbaum, [The Footsteps of Messiah](#), 236.

⁸⁰Bullinger, [Commentary On Revelation](#), Rev. 17:5.

⁸¹Johnson, [Revelation: The Expositor’s Bible Commentary](#), 158.

⁸²Because of the Roman origin of the [prince to come](#) (Dan. 9:26), we think the Islamic scenario to be less likely. We offer it only to underscore the possibility of other scenarios quite different than those frequently proposed.

⁸³Alva J. McClain, [The Greatness Of The Kingdom](#) (Winona Lake, IN: BMH Books, 1959), 75.

84 ibid., 245.

Copyright © 2004-2020 by Tony Garland contact@SpiritAndTruth.org